

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

Post Office Box 339, Oceano, California 93475-0339 1600 Aloha Oceano, California 93445-9735 Telephone (805) 489-6666 FAX (805) 489-2765 www.sslocsd.org

AGENDA BOARD OF DIRECTORS Meeting

1655 Front Street Oceano, California 93445

Wednesday, June 5, 2013 at 6:00 P.M.

Board Members

Matthew Guerrero, Chair Tony Ferrara, Vice Chair Debbie Peterson, Director

Agencies

Oceano Community Services District City of Arroyo Grande City of Grover Beach

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT APPEARING ON AGENDA

This public comment period is an invitation to members of the community to present comments, thoughts or suggestions on matters not scheduled on this agenda. Comments should be limited to those matters which are within the jurisdiction of the District. The Brown Act restricts the Board from taking formal action on matters not published on the agenda. In response to your comments, the Chair or presiding Board Member may:

- Direct Staff to assist or coordinate with you.
- It may be the desire of the Board to place your issue or matter on a future Board meeting agenda.

Please adhere to the following procedures when addressing the Board:

- Comments should be limited to three (3) minutes or less.
- Your comments should be directed to the Board as a whole and not directed to individual Board members.
- Slanderous, profane or personal remarks against any Board Member, Staff or member of the audience shall not be permitted.

3. CONSENT AGENDA

Any writing or document pertaining to an open-session item on this agenda which is distributed to a majority of the Board after the posting of this agenda will be available for public inspection at the time the subject writing or document is distributed. The writing or document will be available for public review in the offices of the Oceano CSD, a member agency located at 1655 Front Street, Oceano, California. Consistent with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and California Government Code §54954.2, requests for disability related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services may be made by a person with a disability who requires modification or accommodation in order to participate at the above referenced public meeting by contacting the General Manager or Bookkeeper/Secretary at (805) 481-6903.

The following routine items listed below are scheduled for consideration as a group. Each item is recommended for approval unless noted. Any member of the public who wishes to comment on any Consent Agenda item may do so at this time. Any Board Member may request that any item be withdrawn from the Consent Agenda to permit discussion or to change the recommended course of action. The Board may approve the remainder of the Consent Agenda on one motion.

- 3A. Review and Approval of the Minutes of the May 15, 2013 meeting
- 3B. Review and Approval of Warrants

4. PLANT SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

5. BOARD ACTION ON INDIVIDUAL ITEMS:

A. FY 2013/14 ANNUAL BUDGET

Staff recommends the Board further review and provide recommendations on the draft FY 2013/14 proposed budget

B. STAFFING REVIEW

Staff recommends the Board approve the proposed staffing structure modification

C. DRAFT RFP FOR FINANCIAL CONSULTING

Staff recommends the Board review the draft Request for Proposals (RFP) to study rates and financing options available to the District

D. BENTHIC STUDY AWARD

Staff recommends the Board contract with Aquatic Bioassay Consulting and Laboratories, Inc. to perform the District's triennial benthic sediment and biota monitoring and outfall inspection

6. MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS

- A. Miscellaneous Oral Communications
- **B**. Miscellaneous Written Communications
 - 1. AB 371 (Salas) Update

7. PUBLIC COMMENT ON CLOSED SESSION

8. CLOSED SESSION

Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957:

- (1) Discussion of appointment or employment of public employee: Interim General Manager's contract
- (2) Discussion of appointment or employment of public employee: Contract with Water Specialty Consulting Services
- (3) Review of Legal Counsel

9. RETURN TO OPEN SESSION; REPORT ON CLOSED SESSION

10. ADJOURNMENT

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

Oceano Community Services District 1655 Front Street Oceano, CA 93445

Minutes of the Meeting of Wednesday, May 15, 2013 6:00 P.M.

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

Present: Chair Matthew Guerrero, Oceano Community Services District; Vice Chair Tony

Ferrara, City of Arroyo Grande; Director Debbie Peterson, City of Grover Beach.

District Staff in Attendance: Paul Karp, Interim General Manager; Mike Seitz, District

Counsel; John Clemons, Plant Superintendent; Matthew Haber,

Bookkeeper/Secretary.

Others in Attendance: Shannon Sweeney

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT APPEARING ON THE AGENDA

Director Guerrero asked for any public comments.

Ms. Julie Tacker of Los Osos spoke about being encouraged by the changes at the District.

Mr. Jeff Edwards of Los Osos spoke about a number of items including the weekly listing of public meetings, the response to the District letter addressed to the County Department of Public Works, how the County's projects may affect the District, the County's regional recycled water strategic plan and the redevelopment of District facilities for tertiary treatment.

There being no more comments, Director Guerrero closed the public comment period.

3. CONSENT AGENDA

Director Guerrero asked for public comments regarding the Consent Agenda. There being none, Director Guerrero closed the public comment period.

Director Peterson asked to pull all three consent agenda items as she had questions about all three them.

A. Review and Approval of the Minutes of the Meeting of May 1, 2013

Director Peterson suggested inserting some language into the minutes to better convey that the pro forma relates to District finances and budgeting.

Action: It was moved by Director Peterson to approve the Minutes of the Meeting of May 1,

2013 as revised. Director Guerrero seconded, and the motion was carried 3-0.

B. Review and Approval of Warrants

Director Peterson asked for clarification on some of the items listed in the warrant register. Interim General Manager Karp and District Bookkeeper Matthew Haber provided clarification of those items in question.

During public comment, Mr. Edwards asked about what matter the firm Andre, Morris & Buttery was working on. Counsel Seitz replied that that firm had worked on multiple cases related to personnel.

<u>Action</u>: It was moved by Director Peterson to approve the warrants as presented. Director Ferrara seconded, and the motion was carried 3-0.

C. Review of Financial Report ending April 30, 2013

Director Peterson asked about the cash reconciliation process between the District and the County, and District Bookkeeper Haber provided some explanation and details as to why and how the account balances may differ at the end of each month.

<u>Action</u>: It was moved by Director Peterson to approve the Review of Financial Report ending April 30, 2013 as presented. Director Ferrara seconded, and the motion was carried 3-0.

4. PLANT SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

Plant Superintendent John Clemons, along with Shannon Sweeney, presented the Plant Superintendent's report which included the April results for the Plant. Plant Superintendent Clemons reported that the monthly average BOD of 12 mg/L was the lowest it has been since before the year 2000, and the Plant was in compliance for fecal coliform for April. Staff continues to implement the process improvement plan and work on in-house projects including trenching for the recirculation system and electrical work.

5. BOARD ACTION ON INDIVIDUAL ITEMS

A. FY 2013/14 PROPOSED BUDGET REVIEW

Interim General Manager Karp presented the preliminary draft budget for Fiscal Year 2013/14.

Director Guerrero asked for public comment.

Mr. Edwards spoke asked how the cost of the planned expansion would compare to the cost of a new facility.

There being no more public comment, Director Guerrero closed the public comment period.

Action: The Board received the draft budget for review.

B. ROTATION OF BOARD MEETING LOCATIONS

Interim General Manager Karp presented the staff recommendation that the Board approve the semiannual rotation of Board meeting locations beginning in July 2013 at the City of Arroyo Grande and returning to OCSD in January 2014. He explained that the necessary resolution for changing the Board bylaws would be placed on the consent calendar of a future Board meeting agenda.

Director Guerrero asked for public comment. There being none, Director Guerrero closed the public comment period.

<u>Action</u>: It was moved by Director Peterson to approve Staff's recommendation and place the item on the next agenda. Director Ferrara seconded, and the motion was carried unanimously.

5. MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS

a. Miscellaneous Oral Communications

Counsel Seitz spoke about the second closed-session item, "Discussion of Administrative Services." Counsel Seitz said that it seemed like this item was a discussion of a position as opposed to an appointment to a position. He said that he came to learn that what would be discussed would be the contract for the Interim General Manager. Counsel Seitz suggested that, if the Board wished to do so, the Board view this as an urgency item to be placed on tonight's agenda under Closed Session called "Public Employment Regarding the Interim General Manager" pursuant to Government Code Section 54957 since the Interim General Manager's contract would terminate at the end of May.

<u>Action</u>: It was moved by Director Ferrara to hear the urgency item. Director Peterson seconded, and the motion was carried 3-0.

Director Peterson spoke about having some form of consideration at some point regarding putting out some positive news about the District as well as looking into District expenses a bit more.

b. Miscellaneous Written Communications

Interim General Manager Karp informed the Board that Assembly Bill 371 (Salas) had passed through the Local Government Committee and that he had received additional correspondence from CASA regarding the opposition to AB 371.

6. PUBLIC COMMENT ON CLOSED SESSION

Director Guerrero asked for public comment. There being none, Director Guerrero closed the public comment period.

7. CLOSED SESSION

- (1) Conference with Legal Counsel pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9; 1 case
 - a. Carter vs. Wallace (pending litigation)
- (2) Conference with Legal Counsel pursuant to Government Code Section 54957: Public Employment Regarding the Interim General Manager

8. RETURN TO OPEN SESSION; REPORT ON CLOSED SESSION

Counsel Seitz reported that the Board had met in Closed Session and had confirmed an extension of Interim General Manager Karp's contract until the end of this calendar year with a raise in Mr. Karp's biweekly stipend from the current rate of \$1,750 to \$1,900.

9. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Board, Director Peterson motioned to adjourn the meeting, and the motion passed 3-0. Director Guerrero adjourned the meeting at approximately 7:45 p.m.

THESE MINUTES ARE DRAFT AND NOT OFFICIAL UNTIL APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AT A SUBSEQUENT MEETING.

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT WARRANT REGISTER

| WARRANT REGISTER 6/5/2013 | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|---|-------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|
| ISSUED TO | PURCHASE/SERVICE | INV. # / SERVICE PERIOD | WARRANT NO. | ACCT | ACCT BRKDN | TOTAL |
| ABBA EMPLOYER SERVICES | CONTRACT LABOR | 18897 18920 | 060513-7660 | 6085 | 2,854.56 | 2,854.56 |
| AHERN RENTALS | LOADER RENTAL | 12014120-1 | 61 | 7032 | 4,745.61 | 4,745.61 |
| AMERICAN INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY | MISC SUPPLIES | 0251540-IN | 62 | 8060 | 634.43 | 634.43 |
| AMIAD WATER SYSTEMS | WATER FILTER PARTS | 162449 | 63 | 8030 | 82.24 | 82.24 |
| AQUATIC BIOASSAY | CHEMICAL ANALYSIS | SLO0513.0415 | 64 | 7078 | 3,065.00 | 3,065.00 |
| ARAMARK UNIFORMS | EMPLOYEE UNIFORMS | 7870010 7886791 7903641 | 65 | 7025 | 715.00 | 715.00 |
| AT&T | TELEPHONE SERVICE | MAY | 66 | 7013 | 352.99 | 352.99 |
| B&B STEEL & SUPPLY | PIPE FOR PROCESS IMPROVEMENT | 416429 417077 417770 12 MBI 02 | 67 | 19/8061 | 2,112.91 | 2,112.91 |
| BC PUMP SALES & SERVICE | 30 HP PUMP FOR PROCESS IMPROVEMENT | 27753 12 MBI 02 | 68 | 19/8061 | 6,852.23 | 6,852.23 |
| BRENNTAG PACIFIC, INC | PLANT CHEMICALS | 304465 305298 | 69 | 8050 | 12,958.92 | 12,958.92 |
| CA ELECTRIC SUPPLY | ELEC SUPPLIES FOR BOILER | 524451 524452 | 70 | 8060 | 555.47 | 2,111.00 |
| | ELEC SUPPLIES FOR PROCESS IMPRVMNT | 525310 525311 526084 526101 526336 525446 | | 19/8061 | 1,465.10 | |
| | ELEC SYS UPGRADE 04 MBI 16 | 525381 526486 04 MBI 16 | | 26/8065 | 90.43 | |
| CAROLLO ENGINEERS | PRETREATMENT ORDIANCE UPDATE | 128232 | 71 | 7065 | 1,480.00 | 1,480.00 |
| CHARTER | INTERNET SERVICE | JUNE | 72 | 7011 | 59.99 | 59.99 |
| CWEA | MEMBERSHIP FEES | HABER | 73 | 7050 | 228.00 | 228.00 |
| DEBBIE PETERSON | BOARD SERVICE | MAY | 74 | 7075 | 200.00 | 200.00 |
| DIAL LONG DISTANCE, INC. | LONG DISTANCE SERVICE | 45222 | 75 | 7013 | 53.88 | 53.88 |
| FEDEX | SHIPPING | 2-275-77232 2-283-43760 | 76 | | 47.58 | 47.58 |
| FERGUSON ENTERPRISES | VALVES AND PARTS FOR PROC IMPR | 9317298 9355374 9355374-2 12 MBI 02 | 77 | 19/8061 | 5,420.52 | 5,420.52 |
| FGL ENVIRONMENTAL | CHEMICAL ANALYSIS | 381463A 381499A 381562A | 78 | 7078 | 420.00 | 420.00 |
| | | 381588A 381667A | | | | |
| FRANK RUSSEL INC. | GLOVES | 681146 | 79 | | 47.41 | 47.41 |
| GRAY LIFT INC | FORKLIFT DRIVERS TRAINING | 8024 | 80 | 7050 | 170.00 | 170.00 |
| HACH | LAB SUPPLIES | 8294179 8305233 | 81 | 8040 | 158.53 | 158.53 |
| I.I. SUPPLY | TOOLS | 18899 19022 | 82 | 8055 | 165.99 | 826.48 |
| | SAFETY SUPPLIES | 18900 | | 8056 | 49.56 | |
| | MISC SUPPLIES | 18857 19021 19028 19065 19105 | | 8060 | 610.93 | |
| JB DEWAR INC | VEHICLE FUEL | 29330 | 83 | 8020 | 199.87 | 199.87 |
| KNECHT'S PLUMBING & HEATING | SERVICE CALL | 36196 | 84 | 8030 | 190.00 | 190.00 |
| LINC DELIVERY | DELIVERY SERVICE | APRIL AND MAY | 85 | 8040 | 735.00 | 735.00 |
| MATTHEW GUERRERO | BOARD SERVICE | MAY | 86 | 7075 | 200.00 | 200.00 |
| McMASTER CARR | MISC SUPPLIES | 51928431 | 87 | 8060 7094 | 259.35 | 259.35 |
| OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DIST OFFICE DEPOT | WATER SERVICE OFFICE SUPPLIES | 03/18/13 - 05/18/13 MAY | 88 89 | 8045 | 347.52 | 347.52 141.18 |
| PAUL KARP | ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES | 5/16/13 - 5/29/13 | 90 | 7076 | 141.18 1,750.00 | 1,750.00 |
| PERS MEDICAL | EMPLOYEE MEDICAL | JUNE | 91 | 6010 | 13,863.00 | 13,863.00 |
| PG&E | ELECTRICITY SERVICE | 04/12/2013 TO 05/13/2013 | 92 | 7091 | 13,991.65 | 13,991.65 |
| PRAXAIR | MISC SUPPLIES | 46095232 46095233 46116127 46148107 | 93 | 8060 | 1,185.57 | 1,185.57 |
| SPRINT | CELL PHONE SERVICE | APRIL | 94 | 7013 | 75.02 | 75.02 |
| STANLEY SECURITY | SECURITY - MAY AND SERVICE CALL | 10171287 10222002 | 95 | 7013 | 215.20 | 215.20 |
| STATE FUND COMPENSATION | WORKERS' COMP PREMIUM | JUNE | 96 | 6080 | 10,391.75 | 10,391.75 |
| TEKTEGRITY | IT SERVICE - JUNE AND SERVICE CALL | 26511-113 26717-113 | 97 | 7015 | 514.05 | 514.05 |
| TELEDYNE ISCO | LAB SUPPLIES | 26412 | 98 | 8040 | 496.05 | 496.05 |
| TIGERDIRECT | EMPLOYEE COMPUTER PURCHASE | J40181930101 | 99 | 1065 | 1,488.24 | 1,488.24 |
| TITAN INDUSTRIAL | CONFINED SPACE TRAINING | 1053881 | 7700 | | 780.00 | 780.00 |
| TONY FERRARA | BOARD SERVICE | MAY | 7701 | 7075 | 200.00 | 200.00 |
| UNDERGROUND SERVICE ALERT | DIG ALERT SERVICE | 13050034 | | 7011 | 138.00 | 138.00 |
| UNITED RENTALS | DUMP TRUCK RENTAL | 107968342-007 08 MBI 25 | 3 | | 1,162.10 | 1,162.10 |
| UNITED STAFFING | CONTRACT LABOR | 72961 73266 73503 | 4 | 6085 | 4,135.04 | 4,135.04 |
| WALLACE GROUP | ADMIN SERVICES | APRIL | 5 | 7076 | 8,027.49 | 15,054.12 |
| | DISTRICT OPERATIONS | | | various | 1,362.75 | |
| | REIMB PROJECTS | | | various | 1,793.29 | |
| | MAJOR BUDGET ITEMS | | | various | 3,870.59 | |
| WESCORP | EXCAVATOR RENTAL - PROCESS IMPR | 319 12 MBI 02 | 6 | | 531.00 | 531.00 |
| WEST COAST INDUSTRIAL | MISC SUPPLIES | 29778 | 7 | 8060 | 80.02 | 80.02 |
| WW GRAINGER | HOUSEHOLD SUPPLIES | 9140138208 | 8 | 8035 | 190.06 | 774.11 |
| | SAFETY SUPPLIES | 9137267325 9149675705 | | 8056 | 584.05 | |
| ĺ | | | | | | |

We hereby certify that the demands numbered serially from 060513-7660 to 060513-7708 together with the supporting evidence have been examined, and that they comply with the requirements of the SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT. The demands are hereby approved by motion of the SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT, together with warrants authorizing and ordering the issuance of checks numbered identically with the particular demands and warrants.

PPE 05/17/2013

SUB TOTAL

PAYROLL

GRAND TOTAL

| BOARD OF DIRECTORS: | DATE: |
|---------------------|--------------|
| Chairman | Board Member |
| Board Member | Secretary |

114,495.12

23,122.06

137,617.18

114,495.12

23,122.06

137,617.18



SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

Post Office Box 339, Oceano, California 93475-0339 1600 Aloha Oceano, California 93445-9735 Telephone (805) 489-6666 FAX (805) 489-2765 www.sslocsd.org

To: Board of Directors

From: John Clemens, Plant Superintendent Via: Paul J. Karp, Interim General Manager

Date: May 31, 2013

Subject: Superintendent's Report

May data are as follows:

Average daily flow 2.5 MGD with an average daily peak flow of 4.1 MGD.

Chlorine use averaged 340 pounds active chemical per day, which is 10 pounds per day lower than last month. Chlorine use remains high through the process improvement period to respond to potential incomplete nitrification, which results in a higher chlorine demand.

Monthly average of BOD through May 17, influent was 348 mg/l, effluent was 3.8 mg/l. Monthly average of TSS, influent through May 17 was 543 mg/l, effluent was 24 mg/l.

For the month of May, the Plant was in compliance for fecal coliform.

Average plant effluent BOD is 25 percent of the effluent BOD from last month, despite a 14 percent increase in influent BOD from last month.

The Fixed Film Reactor was last flushed on May 2, hydraulically, with no chemical included. No flushing has been done since that date.

The process improvement plan continues to be phased in. The ferric dose was dropped on May 17 to 180 mL/minute. The low effluent BOD results during the month of May indicate that the plant is responding well to the lower chemical feed.

Work towards installing the final clarifier recirculation line continues. In May, the piping, pump, variable frequency drive, and controls were installed. The project is not yet complete because the 20-inch gate valve was not shipped until May 28, and is expected onsite June 3.

Plant staff have attended two safety meetings in recent weeks, one on Material Safety Data Sheets, and one on lockout/tagout.



















SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

Post Office Box 339, Oceano, California 93475-0339 1600 Aloha Oceano, California 93445-9735 Telephone (805) 489-6666 FAX (805) 489-2765 www.sslocsd.org

Staff Report

To: Board of Directors

From: Paul J. Karp, Interim General Manager

Date: June 5, 2013

Subject: Draft Fiscal Year 2013-14 Proposed Budget

Recommendation:

It is the staff recommendation that the Directors further review and make recommendations with respect to the proposed budget for fiscal year 2013-14. It is also recommended that the adoption of the final budget be scheduled to take place at the June 19, 2013 Board meeting.

Funding:

Not applicable.

Discussion:

The proposed budget sets forth the planned revenue and expenditures for operations, expansion and replacement of the District's facilities for the upcoming fiscal year. The preliminary draft of the proposed budget for Fiscal Year 2013/14 was presented to the Board at the May 15, 2013 meeting so that the Board could view the preliminary revenue and expenditure figures. The Board Members are now being asked to provide feedback on and recommend any changes to the draft FY 2013/14 proposed budget.



SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT FY 2013/14 Proposed Budget Consolidated

| 2013-14 Proposed Budget Consolidated | Fund 19 Operating Fund | Fund 20 Expansion Fund | Fund 26 Replacement Fund | FY 2013/14 Total | |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Gross Revenues | | | | | |
| Service Charges and Fees | 3,013,500 | | | 3,013,500 | |
| Connection Fees | | 155,925 | | 155,925 | |
| Interest | 500 | 5,200 | | 5,700 | |
| Other Revenues | 101,625 | | | <u>101,625</u> | |
| Total Revenues | 3,115,625 | 161,125 | 0 | 3,276,750 | |
| Expenditures & Other Uses | | | | | |
| Operating Expenditures | | | | | |
| Salaries and Wages | 550,033 | | | 550,033 | |
| Employee Benefits and Other Personnel Costs | 513,840 | | | 513,840 | |
| Permits, Fees and Licenses | 43,860 | | | 43,860 | |
| Communications | 9,580 | | | 9,580 | |
| Computer Support | 10,000 | | | 10,000 | |
| Administrative Costs | 731,767 | | | 731,767 | |
| Disposal Services | 92,700 | | | 92,700 | |
| Utilities | 151,530 | | | 151,530 | |
| Maintenance, Tools & Replacements | 639,940 | | | 639,940 | |
| Materials, Services and Supplies | 403,240 | | | 403,240 | |
| Training, Education & Memberships | <u>21,630</u> | | | 21,630 | |
| Total Operating Expenditures | 3,168,119 | | | 3,168,119 | |
| Total Other Charges | 122,350 | | | 122,350 | |
| Capital Replacement/Maintenance (Fund 26) | | | 1,103,344 | 1,103,344 | Schedule A |
| Capital Outlay | | | | | |
| Capital Equipment | <u>15,000</u> | | | 15,000 | |
| Expansion | | 1,392,000 | | 1,392,000 | Note 1 |
| Total Capital Outlay | 15,000 | 1,392,000 | | 1,407,000 | |
| Other Financing Sources & Uses | | | | | |
| Transfers Out (In) | 822,709 | | (822,709) | 0 | |
| Total Other Financing Sources & Uses | 822,709 | | (822,709) | 0 | |
| Beginning Cash Balance Used for | | | | | |
| Funding | 0 | 1,230,875 | 280,635 | 1,511,510 | |
| Net Change (Deficit) | (4.042.554) | 0 | 0 | (4.042.554) | |
| Net Change (Dencit) | (1,012,554) | U | 0 | (1,012,554) | |
| Note 1: Total \$11.6M for expansion includes \$8.2M | | | | | |
| removal, and \$1M for splitter box repairs. T | welve percent of total | cost has been budge | eted in FY 13-14 for d | esign/permitting. | |

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT FY 2013/14 Proposed Budget Fund 19 - Operating Fund

| 2013-14 Proposed Budget Fund 19 - Operating Fund | PRIOR YEAR FY 2011-12 ACTUAL | FY 2012-13 YTD 03-31-13 9 MONTHS | FY 2012-13 PROJECTION TO 06-30-12 | FY 2012-13 ADJUSTED BUDGET | FY 2013-14 PROPOSED BUDGET |
|---|------------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Gross Revenues | | | | | |
| Service Charges and Fees | 3,031,526 | 2,071,247 | 2,761,663 | 3,013,500 | 3,013,500 |
| Interest | 1,270 | 795 | 1.059 | 750 | 500 |
| Other Revenues | 136,158 | 50,976 | 66,846 | 153,925 | 101,625 |
| Total Revenues | 3,168,955 | 2,123,017 | 2,829,568 | 3,168,175 | 3,115,625 |
| Total Nevellues | 3,100,933 | 2,123,017 | 2,029,300 | 3,100,173 | 3,113,023 |
| Expenditures & Other Uses | | | | | |
| Operating Expenditures | | | | | |
| Salaries and Wages | 500,520 | 352,085 | 469,446 | 511,570 | 550,033 |
| Employee Benefits and Other Personnel | 360,461 | 304,996 | 406.661 | 445.611 | 513,840 |
| Permits, Fees and Licenses | 40,841 | 21,717 | 28,956 | 42,500 | 43,860 |
| Communications | 10.682 | 5.571 | 7.428 | 9.292 | 9.580 |
| Computer Support | 9,699 | 10,356 | 13,808 | 16,000 | 10,000 |
| Administrative Costs | 863.277 | 806,658 | 1,075,266 | 1,209,581 | 731,767 |
| Disposal Services | 50,177 | 57,501 | 76,668 | 90,000 | 92,700 |
| Utilities | 140,833 | 121,783 | 162,378 | 170,750 | 151,530 |
| Maintenance, Tools & Replacements | 248,775 | 265,523 | 354,031 | 593,825 | 639,940 |
| Materials, Services and Supplies | 458,419 | 332,198 | 442,931 | 393,500 | 403,240 |
| Training, Education & Memberships | 24,585 | <u>5,388</u> | <u>7,184</u> | 21,000 | 21,630 |
| Total Operating Expenditures | 2,708,269 | 2,283,777 | 3,044,757 | 3,503,629 | 3,168,119 |
| Total Other Charges | | | | 120,000 | 122,350 |
| Capital Outlay | | | | | |
| Capital Equipment | 24,259 | 17,044 | 22,725 | 54,256 | 15,000 |
| Total Capital Outlay | 24,259 | 17,044 | 22,725 | 54,256 | 15,000 |
| Other Financing Sources & Uses | | | | | |
| Transfers In | | | | | |
| Transfers Out | | | | | 822,709 |
| Total Other Financing Sources & Uses | | | | | 822,709 |
| Total Uses | | | | 3,677,885 | 4,301,457 |
| Unfunded Appropriation - Cash from Fund 20 is Used | | | | (509,710) | (1,012,554) |

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT FY 2013/14 Proposed Budget Fund 19 - Operating Fund

| | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|--|---|------------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 2013-14 Proposed Budget Fund 19 - Operating Fund | | PRIOR YEAR FY 2011-12 ACTUAL | FY 2012-13 YTD 03-31-13 9 MONTHS | FY 2012-13 PROJECTION TO 06-30-12 | FY 2012-13 ADJUSTED BUDGET | FY 2013-14 PROPOSED BUDGET | NOTE |
| | | | | | | | |
| Revenues Gross Revenues | | | | | | | |
| Service Charges and Fees | | | | | | | |
| 19-4015 19-4022 | Arroyo Grande Services | 1,456,784 | 1,085,665 789,426 | 1,628,498 | 1,440,500 | 1,440,500 | |
| 19-4022 19-4035 | Grover Beach Services OCSD Services | 1,064,832 484,431 | 290,899 | 1,052,568 387,866 | 1,050,000 500,000 | 1,050,000 500,000 | |
| 19-4045 | School Services | 25,479 | 3,908 | 23,000 | 23,000 | 23,000 | |
| Total Service Charges and Fees | | 3,031,526 | 2,169,899 | 3,091,932 | 3,013,500 | 3,013,500 | |
| _ | | | | | | | |
| Interest | | | | | | | |
| 19-5015 | Interest | <u>1,270</u> | <u>795</u> | <u>1,059</u> | <u>750</u> | 500 | |
| Total Interest | | 1,270 | 795 | 1,059 | 750 | 500 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Other Revenues | | | | | | | |
| 19-4040 19-4050 | Pismo Beach Reimbursement | 7.000 | 40.404 | 42.050 | 20.000 | 17,000 | |
| | Brine Disposal Service | 7,630 22,571 | 10,464 | 13,952 23,526 | 20,900 22,525 | 20,900 22,525 | <u> </u> |
| 19-4055 | Lease (AT&T) | 300 | 17,645 | 3,365 | 250 | 22,020 | |
| 19-5020 19-5021 | Other Reimbursements FEMA Funding | 73,504 | 3,365 | 5,565 N | 30,000 | | |
| 19-5021 19-5022 | WDR Reimburs. (MAs) | 7,342 | 1,327 | 1,769 | 10,000 | 10,300 | 1 |
| 19-5022 | FOG Reimbursement | 24,810 | 18,175 | 24,234 | 30,000 | 30,900 | |
| 19-5025 | Other Sales | 1 | 0 | 0 | 250 | ,,,, | |
| 19-5030 | IRWM Funding | 0 | 0 | <u>0</u> | 40,000 | <u>0</u> | |
| Total Other Revenues | | 136,158 | 50,976 | 66,846 | 153,925 | 101,625 | |
| Total Galor Rotalides | <u> </u> | .55,100 | 22,210 | 55,540 | ,.20 | ,320 | |
| Total Revenues | | 3,168,955 | 2,221,669 | 3,159,837 | 3,168,175 | 3,115,625 | |
| Expenditures & Other Uses | | + | - | | | | |
| Operating Expenditures | | | | | | | |
| Operating Experiditures | | | | | | | |
| Salaries and Wages | | | | | | | |
| 19-6030 | Plant Operators | 460,642 | 317,695 | 423,593 | 464,862 | 497,177 | 1 |
| 19-6040 | Bookkeeper/Secretary | 39,878 | 34,390 | 45,853 | 46,708 | 52,856 | |
| Total Salaries and Wages | | 500,520 | 352,085 | 469,446 | 511,570 | 550,033 | |
| Employee Benefits and Other Personnel Costs | | | | | | | - |
| 19-6010 | Medical Insurance | 141,009 | 113,578 | 151,437 | 158,069 | 210,000 | |
| 19-6025 | Dental Insurance | 9,699 | 7,122 | 9,496 | 11,200 | 11,930 | |
| 19-6050 | Social Security & Medicare | 37,095 | 26,934 | 35,913 | 39,135 | 41,680 | |
| 19-6055 19-6060 | State Disability Insurance State Retirement | 2,626 86,717 | 1,752 55,839 | 2,337 74,453 | 2,558 92,486 | 2,720 99,006 | |
| 19-6075 | Medical Reimbursement | 3,137 | 1,590 | 2,120 | 4,000 | 4,260 | |
| 19-6080 | Worker's Compensation | 65,816 | 97,280 | 129,706 | 125,000 | 130,224 | |
| 19-6095 | Unemployment Reimbursement | 14,363 | 900 | <u>1,200</u> | 13,163 | 14,020 | <u> </u> |
| Total Employee Benefits and Other Personnel Costs | | 360,461 | 304,996 | 406,661 | 445,611 | 513,840 | |
| Permits, Fees and Licenses | | 1 | | | | | |
| 19-6090 | Payroll Process Fee | 2,359 | 1,617 | 2,156 | 2,500 | 2,660 | |
| 19-7068 | Permits/Fees/Licenses | 38,482 | 20,100 | 26,800 | 40,000 | 41,200 | <u> </u> |
| Total Permits, Fees and Licenses | | 40,841 | 21,717 | 28,956 | 42,500 | 43,860 | |
| Communications | | | | | | | |
| 19-7011 | Comm (Alarm, Internet, USA, Web Hosting) | 2,519 | 2,071 | 2,761 | 3,180 | 3,280 | |
| 19-7013 | Communications - Telephone | 7,967 | 3,377 | 4,503 | 5,912 | 6,090 | |
| 19-7014 Total Communications | Communications - Paging | 195 10.682 | <u>123</u> 5.571 | <u>164</u> 7.428 | 200 9.292 | 210 9.580 | |
| | | 10.682 | 5.5/1 | 1.428 | 9.292 | 9.580 | |
| Computer Support | 06 | | | | | | |
| Total Computer Support | Office Equpment/Computer Supplies | 9,699 9,699 | 10,356 10,356 | 13,808 13,808 | 16,000 16,000 | 10,000 10,000 | - |
| Total Computer Support | | 5,099 | 10,336 | 13,008 | 10,000 | 10,000 | |
| Administrative Costs | | | | | | | |
| 19-7005 | Advertisements/Legal & Recruit | 1,766 | 1,574 | 2,098 | 2,000 | 2,060 | |
| 19-7043 19-7065 | Insurance Liability - Auto Source Control Program | 23,368 27,096 | 21,030 41,596 | 28,039 55,461 | 28,006 80,000 | 30,807 30,000 | |
| 19-7069 | LAFCO Budget Share | 8,520 | 9,235 | 12,313 | 9,235 | 9,510 | |
| 19-7070 | Prof Services - Outside Counsel/Litigation | | 393,232 | 524,309 | 430,000 | 150,000 | |
| 19-7071 19-7072 | Prof Services - District Counsel Prof Services - Auditing | 270,221 5,450 | 33,177 5,000 | 44,236 6,667 | 45,000 5,640 | 46,350 5,810 | |
| 19-7073 | Prof Services - Additing Prof Services - AG Billing | 12,497 | 7,310 | 9,747 | 20,000 | 22,000 | |
| 19-7074 | Prof Services - OCSD Bill | 4,930 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | 22,000 | |
| 19-7075 | Prof Services - Board Members | 6,900 | 5,600 | 7,467 | 7,200 | 7,420 | |
| 19-7076 19-7077 | Prof Services - District Administration Prof Services - Engineering | 149,259 130,908 | 80,929 64,492 | 107,906 85,990 | 120,000 125,000 | 123,600 128,750 | |
| 19-7078 | Prof Services Chemical Analysis | 59,126 | 24,092 | 32,123 | 60,000 | 61,800 | |
| 19-7079 | Prof Services - Other Bill | 15,312 | 3,957 | 5,277 | 10,000 | 10,300 | |
| 19-7081 19-7087 | Prof Services - GB Bill WDR & SSMP District Expenses | 4,000 114,918 | 0 107,525 | 440.007 | 9,000 155,000 | 22,000 30,000 | |
| 19-7087 19-7088 | Water Recycling Study | 114,918 | 107,525 | 143,367 837 | 40,000 | 30,000 | |
| 19-7089 | Rate Study | | 0 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | |
| 19-7095 | Zone 1/1A Agreement | 27,052 | 7,073 | 9,431 | 28,500 | 29,360 | |
| Total Administrative Costs | | 863,277 | 806,658 | 1,075,266 | 1,209,581 | 731,767 | 1 |
| Disposal Services | | + | | | | | 1 |
| 19-7085 | Solids Handling | 45,460 | 56,883 | 75,844 | 85,000 | 87,550 | |
| 19-7086 | Brine Disposal Expenses | 4,717 | 618 57 504 | 824 76 669 | 5,000 | 5,150 | |
| Total Disposal Services | 1 | 50,177 | 57,501 | 76,668 | 90,000 | 92,700 | |
| Utilities | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT FY 2013/14 Proposed Budget Fund 19 - Operating Fund

| 19-7091 | Utilities - Electricity | 122,627 | 102,420 | 136,560 | 145,000 | 125,000 | |
|---|--|---|-----------|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|-----|
| 19-7092 | Utilities - Gas | 16,169 | 17,751 | 23,667 | 23,500 | 24,210 | |
| 19-7093 | Utilities - Rubbish | 1,130 | 949 | 1,266 | 1,250 | 1,290 | |
| 19-7094 | Utilities - Water | 907 | 664 | 885 | 1,000 | 1,030 | |
| Total Utilities | Cuitics - Water | 140,833 | 121,783 | 162,378 | 170,750 | 151,530 | |
| Total Otilities | | 140,000 | 121,700 | 102,570 | 170,730 | 101,000 | |
| Maintenance, Tools & Replacements | | | | | | | |
| 19-7060 | Ocean Outfall Maintenance | | 824 | 1,099 | 0 | 34,000 | |
| 19-7097 | Cogeneration Service Contract | 32,722 | 8,280 | 11,040 | 35,000 | 36,050 | |
| 19-8015 | Trunk and Sewer Minor Maintenance | 19,809 | 18,104 | 24,138 | 40,000 | 40,000 | |
| 19-8030 | Equip Maint Reg and Minor Replacement | 70,601 | 126,920 | 169,226 | 150,000 | 150,000 | |
| 19-8032 | Automotive Maintenance | 3,231 | 5,800 | 7,733 | 8,000 | 8,240 | |
| 19-8055 | Tools | 12,636 | 4,995 | 6,661 | 12,500 | 12,880 | |
| 19-8060 | Structure Maintenance - Regular | 81,947 | 86,255 | 115,007 | 110,000 | 113,300 | |
| 19-8061 | Structure Maintenance - Major | 27,827 | 14,345 | 19,127 | 238,325 | 245,470 | |
| Total Maintenance, Tools & Replacements | Í | 248,775 | 265,523 | 354,031 | 593,825 | 639,940 | |
| , | | , | | , , , , , | | | |
| Materials, Services and Supplies | | | | | | | |
| 19-6085 | Temporary Labor Services | 86,095 | 49,349 | 65,799 | 65,000 | 69,230 | |
| 19-7025 | Employee Uniforms | 12,783 | 9,162 | 12,216 | 12,000 | 12,360 | |
| 19-7032 | Equipment Rental - other | 518 | 297 | 396 | 5,000 | 5,150 | |
| 19-8020 | Gas and Oil | 9,231 | 8,604 | 11,472 | 10,000 | 10,000 | |
| 19-8035 | Household Expense | 3,196 | 1,788 | 2,384 | 3,500 | 3,610 | |
| 19-8040 | Laboratory Supplies | 11,618 | 9,067 | 12,089 | 11,500 | 11,850 | |
| 19-8045 | Office Supplies and Expense | 7,973 | 3,700 | 4,934 | 8,000 | 8,240 | |
| 19-8050 | Plant Chemicals | 311,972 | 236,078 | 314,771 | 260,000 | 267,800 | |
| 19-8056 | Safety Supplies | <u>15,034</u> | 14,152 | <u>18,869</u> | <u>18,500</u> | <u>15,000</u> | |
| Total Materials, Services and Supplies | | 458,419 | 332,198 | 442,931 | 393,500 | 403,240 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Training, Education & Memberships | | | | | | | |
| 19-7050 | Memberships/Seminars/Meetings | 24,585 | 5,388 | <u>7,184</u> | 21,000 | 21,630 | |
| Total Training, Education & Memberships | 3 | 24,585 | 5,388 | 7,184 | 21,000 | 21,630 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total Operating Expenditures | | 2,708,269 | 2,283,777 | 3,044,757 | 3,503,629 | 3,168,119 | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | |
| Other Charges | | | | | | | |
| 19-7096 | Cogeneration EISA - Debt Principal and Interest | 73,766 | 67,356 | 89,809 | 75,000 | 76,000 | |
| 19-7082 | FEMA Expenditures | 19,194 | 2,096 | 2,794 | 5,000 | 5,150 | |
| 19-7083 | WDR & SSMP Reporting (MAs) | 7,342 | 1,327 | 1,769 | 10,000 | 10,300 | |
| 19-7084 | FOG (Member Agencies) | 24,967 | 22,171 | 29,561 | 30,000 | 30,900 | |
| Total Other Charges | | 125,269 | 92,949 | 123,933 | 120.000 | 122,350 | |
| Total Other Oharges | | 120,200 | 02,040 | 120,000 | 120,000 | 122,000 | |
| Capital Outlay | | | | | | | |
| 19-6010 | Capital Equipment | 24,259 | 17,044 | 22,725 | 54,256 | 15,000 | |
| | Oapital Equipment | 27,200 | 17,077 | 22,123 | | 10,000 | |
| Total Capital Outlay | | 24 250 | 47 044 | 22 725 | E4 2EC | 45 000 | |
| | | 24,259 | 17,044 | 22,725 | 54,256 | 15,000 | |
| 011 5: : 0 011 | | 24,259 | 17,044 | 22,725 | 54,256 | 15,000 | |
| Other Financing Sources & Uses | | 24,259 | 17,044 | 22,725 | 54,256 | ŕ | |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 | | 24,259 | 17,044 | 22,725 | · | <u>822,709</u> | NI4 |
| | | 24,259 | 17,044 | 22,725 | 54,256 0 | ŕ | N1 |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses | | 24,259 | 17,044 | 22,725 | 0 | 822,709 822,709 | N1 |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 | | 24,259 | 17,044 | 22,725 | · | <u>822,709</u> | N1 |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses | | 24,259 | 17,044 | 22,725 | 0 | 822,709 822,709 | N1 |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses Total Uses | | 24,259 | 17,044 | 22,725 | 0 | 822,709 822,709 | N1 |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses Total Uses Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - | | 24,259 | 17,044 | 22,725 | 0 3,677,885 | 822,709 822,709 4,128,178 | |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses Total Uses | | 24,259 | 17,044 | 22,725 | 0 | 822,709 822,709 | N1 |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses Total Uses Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - | | 24,259 | 17,044 | 22,725 | 0 3,677,885 | 822,709 822,709 4,128,178 | |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses Total Uses Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - | | 24,259 | 17,044 | 22,725 | 0 3,677,885 (509,710) | 822,709 822,709 4,128,178 | |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses Total Uses Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - | | 24,259 | 17,044 | | 0 3,677,885 | 822,709 822,709 4,128,178 | |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses Total Uses Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - | | 24,259 | 17,044 | Deficit in Fund 26 | 0 3,677,885 (509,710) | 822,709 822,709 4,128,178 | |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses Total Uses Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - | | 24,259 | 17,044 | Deficit in Fund 26 Total Deficit - | 0 3,677,885 (509,710) (1,260,396) | 822,709 822,709 4,128,178 | N1 |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses Total Uses Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - | | 24,259 | 17,044 | Deficit in Fund 26 | 0 3,677,885 (509,710) | 822,709 822,709 4,128,178 | |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses Total Uses Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - Cash from Fund 20 is Used | | 24,259 | 17,044 | Deficit in Fund 26 Total Deficit - | 0 3,677,885 (509,710) (1,260,396) | 822,709 822,709 4,128,178 | N1 |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses Total Uses Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - | | 24,259 | 17,044 | Deficit in Fund 26 Total Deficit - | 0 3,677,885 (509,710) (1,260,396) | 822,709 822,709 4,128,178 | N1 |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses Total Uses Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - Cash from Fund 20 is Used Notes: | Transfer Out to Fund 26 to fund the Capital Replace | | 17,044 | Deficit in Fund 26 Total Deficit - | 0 3,677,885 (509,710) (1,260,396) | 822,709 822,709 4,128,178 | N1 |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses Total Uses Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - Cash from Fund 20 is Used Notes: N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a | | ment Program. | 17,044 | Deficit in Fund 26 Total Deficit - | 0 3,677,885 (509,710) (1,260,396) | 822,709 822,709 4,128,178 | N1 |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses Total Uses Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - Cash from Fund 20 is Used Notes: | | ment Program. | 17,044 | Deficit in Fund 26 Total Deficit - | 0 3,677,885 (509,710) (1,260,396) | 822,709 822,709 4,128,178 | N1 |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses Total Uses Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - Cash from Fund 20 is Used Notes: N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a | nditures for operations and those for capital replacement | ment Program. | 17,044 | Deficit in Fund 26 Total Deficit - | 0 3,677,885 (509,710) (1,260,396) | 822,709 822,709 4,128,178 | N1 |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses Total Uses Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - Cash from Fund 20 is Used Notes: N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund exper | nditures for operations and those for capital replacements ing source in Fund 26 for its appropriation of \$1,260,3 | ment Program. | 17,044 | Deficit in Fund 26 Total Deficit - | 0 3,677,885 (509,710) (1,260,396) | 822,709 822,709 4,128,178 | N1 |
| Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses Total Uses Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - Cash from Fund 20 is Used Notes: N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund exper | nditures for operations and those for capital replacements in source in Fund 26 for its appropriation of \$1,260,3 nter-fund transfer. | ment Program. nls. 96. budgeted in Fund 19 inst | | Deficit in Fund 26 Total Deficit - | 0 3,677,885 (509,710) (1,260,396) | 822,709 822,709 4,128,178 | N1 |

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT FY 2013/14 Proposed Budget

Fund 20 - Expansion Fund

| 2013-14 Proposed Budget Fund 20 - Expansion Fund Revenues Gross Revenues | | PRIOR YEAR FY 2011-12 ACTUAL | | FY 2012-13 PROJECTION TO 06-30-12 | FY 2012-13 ADJUSTED BUDGET | FY 2013-14 PROPOSED BUDGET | NOTE NO. |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Connection Fees | | | | | | | |
| 20-4010 | Arroyo Grande Connections | 29,700 | | 75,899 | 49,500 | 76,725 | |
| 20-4020 | Grover Beach Connections | 9,900 | 2,475 | 4,950 | 14,850 | 59,400 | |
| 20-4030 | OCSD Connections | <u>4,950</u> | <u>0</u> | 2.475 | 9.900 | <u>19.800</u> | |
| Total Connection Fees | | 44,550 | 59,399 | 83,324 | 74,250 | 155,925 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Interest | | | | | | | |
| 20-5015 | Interest Income | 10,333 | 5,695 | 7,593 | 10,000 | 5,200 | |
| Total Interest | | 10,333 | 5,695 | 7,593 | 10,000 | 5,200 | |
| Other Developer | | | | | | | |
| Other Revenues | | | | | | | |
| 20-5030 | SGIP Rebate | 150,000 | | | | | |
| Total Other Revenues | | 150,000 | | | | | |
| Total Revenues | | 204,883 | 65,094 | 90,917 | 84,250 | 161,125 | |
| Expenditures & Other Uses | | | | | | | |
| Capital Outlay | | | | | | | |
| 20-8010 | Capital Equipment | 0 | 1,994 | 2,659 | 110.000 | | |
| 20-8065 | Structure/Grounds Replacement | 140,008 | 20,753 | 27,671 | 538,589 | | |
| | Expansion | <u>0</u> | 0 | 0 | <u>0</u> | 1,392,000 | N1 |
| Total Capital Outlay | | 140,008 | 22,747 | 30,330 | 648,589 | 1,392,000 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Beginning Cash Balance Used for Funding | | | | | 564,339 | 1,230,875 | |
| Net Change | | | | | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Notes: | | | | | | | |
| N1 - Total \$11.6M for expansion includes \$8.2M for secondary rehabilitation and grit removal, and \$1M for splitter box repairs 14 for design/permitting. | | | | | | | |

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

FY 2013/14 Proposed Budget Fund 26 - Replacement Fund

| FY 2013-14 Proposed Budget Fund 26 - Replacement Fund | | PRIOR YEAR FY 2011-12 ACTUAL | FY 2012-13 YTD 03-31-13 9 MONTHS | FY 2012-13 PROJECTION TO 06-30-12 | FY 2012-13 ADJUSTED BUDGET | FY 2013-14 PROPOSED BUDGET | NOTE NO. |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Revenues | | | | | | | |
| Gross Revenues | | | | | | | |
| Interest | | | | | | | |
| 26-5015 | Interest Income | 3,799 | 2,094 | 2,792 | <u>3,500</u> | 0 | |
| Total Interest | | 3,799 | 2,094 | 2,792 | 3,500 | 0 | |
| Other Revenues | | | | | | | |
| 26-5040 | Transfer from Fund 19 | | | | | 822,709 | |
| Total Other Revenues | | | | | | 822,709 | |
| Total Revenues | | 3,799 | 2,094 | 2,792 | 3,500 | 822,709 | |
| Expenditures & Other Uses | | | | | | | |
| 26-8015 | Trunk Sewer Maintenance | 8,466 | 0 | 0 | 295,034 | 160,000 | |
| 26-8061 | Structures/Grounds Maint-Maj | 177,052 | 173,922 | 231,897 | 484,476 | 177,000 | |
| 26-8065 | Structures/Grounds Repl/Imp | 204,436 | 90,163 | 120,218 | 987,871 | 766,344 | |
| 26-8070 | Emergency Equipment Repair | 19,475 | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>170,526</u> | 0 | |
| Total Expenditures | | 409,429 | 264,086 | 352,114 | 1,937,907 | 1,103,344 | |
| Beginning Cash Balance Used for Funding | | | | | 674,011 | 280,635 | 1 |
| Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation | | | | | (1,260,396) | 0 | |
| Notes: | | | | | | | |

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT FY 2013/14 Proposed Budget Fund 26 - Replacement Fund

| Schedule A | | | | |
|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Detail List of Replacement Projects in Fund 26 | | | | |
| , | | | | |
| 26-8015 Trunk Sewer Maintenance | | | | |
| Item | Cost | | | |
| Trunk Sewer Maintenance | \$160,000 | | | |
| Total for 26-8061 | \$160,000 | | | |
| 25 9054 Structures/Grounds Major Maintenance | | | | |
| 26-8061 Structures/Grounds - Major Maintenance | Cost | | | |
| Digester Cleaning | \$150,000 | | | |
| Pump Rebuilding | 12,000 | | | |
| Grinder Replacement | 10,000 | | | |
| Flare Maintenance | 5,000 | | | |
| Total for 26-8061 | \$177,000 | | | |
| | | | | |
| 26-8065 Structures/Grounds - Replacement and Improvement | | | | |
| | Cost including | | | |
| Item | Design/Installation | | | |
| Influent Slide Gate | \$67,680 | | | |
| Auger #1 | 8,491 | | | |
| Auger #2 | 8,491 | | | |
| RW12 (16-inch) | 2,540 | | | |
| RW 16 14-inch | 2,407 | | | |
| RW 16 Check Valve | 2,407 | | | |
| Clarifier #1 Sludge Pump #1 | 3,802 | | | |
| Clarifier #1 Sludge Pump #1 VFD | 2,403 | | | |
| Clarifier #1 Sludge Pump #2 | 3,802 | | | |
| Clarifier #1 Sludge Pump #2 VFD | 2,403 | | | |
| Clarifier #2 Coating | 45,630 | | | |
| Clarifier #2 Bridge | 93,085 | | | |
| Clarifier #2 Sludge Pump #4 VFD | 2,403 | | | |
| Clarifier #2 Sludge Pump #6 VFD | 2,403 | | | |
| Hellan Strainers | 7,208 | | | |
| Digester #1 Cleanout | 181,728 | | | |
| Hot Water Recirc Pump Motor | 4,143 | | | |
| ORP Chemical Feed Control | 12,068 | | | |
| Satellite Feed Systems | 21,902 | | | |
| Standby Propane Boiler | 45,823 | | | |
| Front End Loader | 187,044 | | | |
| 1997 Ford Ranger | 28,934 | | | |
| 1997 Ford F250 | 29,548 | | | |
| Total for 26-8065 | \$766,344 | | | |
| | | | | |
| TOTAL OF ALL REPLACEMENT PROJECTS IN FUND 26 | \$1,103,344 | | | |



SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

Post Office Box 339, Oceano, California 93475-0339 1600 Aloha Oceano, California 93445-9735 Telephone (805) 489-6666 FAX (805) 489-2765 www.sslocsd.org

To: Board of Directors

From: Paul J. Karp, Interim General Manager

Date: May 31, 2013

Subject: Staff Structure

Recommendation

Staff recommends that the District staff structure be modified to better meet the current and future needs of the District in a cost-effective manner. The modifications include the addition of a Laboratory Technician/Operator and an Operator-in-Training Intern, and the removal of one of the two existing maintenance staff positions upon attrition.

Funding

The District has \$813,643 funded to cover salaries and wages and benefits for plant staff and the bookkeeper/secretary, plus an additional \$131,030 budgeted for temporary staff and laboratory services, for a total budget of \$944,673. Only staffing structures that could be funded within the existing total budget constraints while meeting all of the funded functions received further consideration. With the recommended staffing modifications, the staffing structure has a maximum cost of \$941,937 during the transition, which is within the existing budget.

Discussion

The District's existing Operations and Maintenance manual lists a staffing structure that is currently not being met. The Operations and Maintenance Manual lists the following staff positions:

| Staff Position | SSLOCSD |
|--|---------|
| Supervisory (including Shift supervisor) | 2 |
| Operations | 4 |
| Maintenance | 1 |
| Clerical/Book-keeper | 1 |
| Laboratory | 0 |
| Yardwork (includes janitorial) | 0 |
| Total | 8 |

Current staffing includes two supervisors, three operators, two maintenance staff, and a bookkeeper/secretary. This current staffing structure has fewer operators and more maintenance than the facility documentation indicates. To exacerbate the already low operator count, staff health issues have reduced the available productive hours of existing operating staff.

Add – Laboratory Technician/Operator

The Laboratory Technician/Operator position was eliminated in the recent past, likely because, at the time, little analysis was being performed for process control. Recent plant process improvements have highlighted the value of process control sampling that is not required as part of the permit, but is useful for determining whether the plant is operating well. These samples include ammonia, nitrite, and chemical oxygen demand. In addition, the turnaround time for contract lab analyzed Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) samples is prohibitively long when addressing changing conditions. By creating a position that is part laboratory technician, part operator, this staff person can assist with plant operation when needed, but can serve to maintain regulatory and process control sampling in a timely and cost-effective manner.

Add – Operator-in-Training Intern

With the addition of a Laboratory Technician/Operator, the number of operators will meet the number of personnel indicated by the facility documentation when fully available. However, health or retirement issues can easily place the District at risk of being understaffed. Rather than include an additional full time, permanent operator position, it is recommended that the District include an Operator-in-Training position. This position can be filled when circumstances indicate that there will be a vacancy in the near future, so that the new operator can be brought up to speed prior to the position vacancy. The internship would be limited to one year, which is long enough to obtain certification, but not permanent if the need for the trained operator does not materialize at the end of the internship. This provides the plant management the flexibility to plan for future staffing needs without being overstaffed on a permanent basis, or having vacancies that have the appearance to a regulatory agency that the facility is not sufficiently staffed.

Remove – One Maintenance Position, Upon Attrition

The current maintenance staff is more suited to the treatment plant needs prior to and during the process improvement plan than to its future needs. As the plant achieves a more reliable operation, the need for two maintenance staff will diminish, to the point where one maintenance position will not need to be refilled after attrition. This position should be eliminated upon attrition.

Cost Implications

As the permanent staff are hired, the costs currently being spent on temporary staff and laboratory services would be reduced accordingly. The cost implications as the recommended modifications are implemented are as follows:

| Arrangement | Total Cost |
|------------------------------------|------------|
| Current staffing | \$893,000 |
| Add Laboratory Technician/Operator | \$867,000 |
| Add Operator-in-Training Intern | \$942,000 |
| Remove Maintenance Position | \$867,000 |



SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

Post Office Box 339, Oceano, California 93475-0339 1600 Aloha Oceano, California 93445-9735 Telephone (805) 489-6666 FAX (805) 489-2765 www.sslocsd.org

Staff Report

To: Board of Directors

From: Paul J. Karp, Interim General Manager

Date: June 5, 2013

Subject: Draft Request for Proposals (RFP) for Financial Consulting

Recommendation:

It is the staff recommendation that the Directors review the attached draft RFP soliciting proposals from financial consultants to study rates and financing options.

Funding:

Not applicable.

Discussion:

At the May 1, 2013 meeting, the Board agreed with Director Ferrara's offer to provide feedback regarding a draft Request for Proposals (RFP) for financial consultants. Staff produced a draft RFP to which Director Ferrara suggested some minor changes be made. His recommendations have been incorporated into the present draft. The District will eventually employ a final version of this RFP to solicit the aid of a financial consultant who will work with the Board in developing a financial plan and who will make recommendations with regard to rate modifications to be implemented before the 2014-15 fiscal year's budget is adopted.

Attachments:

Draft Request for Proposals for Financial Consulting (9 pages)



SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT 1600 ALOHA PL / P.O. BOX 339 OCEANO, CA 93475 (805) 489-6666

June xx, 2013

Subject: Request for Proposals

Wastewater Rate, Fee and Alternative Financing Study

The South San Luis Obispo County Sanitation District (SSLOCSD) or DISTRICT, is soliciting proposals in accordance with the attached "Request for Proposal" to prepare a Wastewater Rate and Fee and Alternative Financing Study for the DISTRICT.

Interested firms must submit **five** copies of the proposals to:

Matthew Haber SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT P.O. Box 339 Oceano, CA 93475

The proposals must be submitted to the above address no later than 4:00 p.m. on July xx, 2013. Submitted Proposals shall be clearly identified in the lower left hand corner of the envelope with "Proposal: Wastewater Rate and Fee Study".

If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Haber at:

Phone: 805-481-6903 Fax: 805-489-2765

Email: matthew@sslocsd.us

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR A WASTEWATER RATE, FEE AND ALTERNATIVE FINANCING STUDY

I. INTRODUCTION

The DISTRICT is soliciting proposals to prepare a wastewater rate, fee and alternative financing study, by 4:00 p.m., July xx, 2013, from qualified professional consulting firms. The selected consultant shall perform the tasks specified in the "Scope of Work" section of this Request for Proposals (RFP). You are hereby invited to submit a proposal based upon the requirements and conditions set forth in this RFP.

The intent of the study is to independently assess and evaluate the DISTRICT's existing sewer rates and fees and recommend appropriate rates and fees to support operations and capital improvements.

Recommendations should support the broad objective which is to adequately fund wastewater utility operations and capital costs while minimizing rates. The study will be based on a comprehensive review of the DISTRICT's wastewater funds, budgets, engineering studies, customer classes, current usage data, future planned growth and any other information deemed necessary.

II. BACKGROUND OF SSLOCSD AND MEMBER AGENCIES

The original plant design has been substantially upgraded as follows:

In 1978, an entirely new and longer outfall line was constructed to replace the initial outfall that underwent serious damage during successive major storm events.

In 1979, the plant was upgraded to include new sludge drying beds, a sludge thickener, a new maintenance building and the installation of standby power to provide for continued treatment in the event of a local power failure.

In 1986, a major plant enlargement was constructed which led to the addition of a new fixed film reactor and the conversion of the original aeration tank and final clarifier structure into a single, enlarged final clarifier unit. Additionally, a new power generation building was constructed. These projects resulted in increasing the plant capacity from the initial 2.5 MGD to 3.3 MGD.

In 1990, the plant was expanded once again to include the addition of a second anaerobic digester along with a second primary clarifier unit. This expansion increased the overall treatment flow capacity from 3.3 MGD to 5.0 MGD.

In 2005, the most recent wastewater treatment plant improvement occurred with the addition of a new chlorine contact chamber. This chamber was constructed to meet new effluent requirements as stipulated in the District's NPDES Permit R3-2004-0050 providing for adequate disinfection prior to release from the plant.

Service Area

The South San Luis Obispo County Sanitation District encompasses a geographic area of 165 square miles. It is located within an area known as the Five Cities area in the southwestern portion of San Luis Obispo County and is located 15 miles south of the City of San Luis Obispo.

The District provides its services to the residents of the City of Arroyo Grande, the City of Grover Beach and the Oceano Community Services District. In addition, there is a small County population of approximately 50 residences that are not incorporated within the communities listed above who also

receive those services offered by the District.

Member Agencies

The District provides wastewater collection, treatment and disposal services to the three Member Agencies listed below. The combined average, annual raw wastewater flow to the District from these agencies is in the order of 2.9 million gallons per day. In addition to collection and treatment services, the District also assists in providing source control programs and inspection services on behalf of the Member Agencies. These additional services include an Industrial/Commercial Pretreatment Program and a Fats, Oils and Grease (FOG) Control Program. Information about each of the Member Agencies can be found below or by following the respective link for that agency.

City of Arroyo Grande (http://www.arroyogrande.org)

The City of Arroyo Grande is a community encompassing 5.45 square miles of diverse landscapes ranging from gentle rolling suburbs to scenic canyon lands. The current population of Arroyo Grande is estimated to be 17,395 which represents approximately 45% of the total District's population. Arroyo Grande currently contributes approximately 1.26 million gallons per day of average annual raw wastewater flow to the District. Arroyo Grande's General Plan emphasizes the community's desire to maintain a rural, small town character. The majority of Arroyo Grande is residential in use. Approximately 82% of the land area is occupied by housing. Southwest of Hwy 101 the land is relatively flat and suburban in character. Arroyo Grande has recently experienced a considerable increase in commercial/retail development along the Hwy 101 corridor. There are approximately 18 acres of DISTRICT land devoted to light industrial and business park to be developed. The projected build out population of 20,000 residents is anticipated to be reached around the year 2030.

City of Grover Beach (http://www.grover.org)

Grover Beach is an incorporated, oceanfront city encompassing approximately 2.25 square miles of gently rolling hills, urban and suburban neighborhoods. The current population of Grover Beach is estimated to be 13,211 which represents approximately 34% of the total District population. Grover Beach currently contributes approximately 1.03 million gallons per day of average annual raw wastewater flow to the District. Grover Beach's General Plan seeks to "...preserve and promote an economically diverse town influenced by the natural benefits of its location on the hills and valleys, reaching out to the coastal dunes, the beach, and the Pacific Ocean." Most of the city appears commercial, suburban and recreational in character. There is little light industry and no heavy industry. Commercial/Retail uses are located primarily on the Grand Avenue corridor. The projected future build out population of 15,076 is anticipated to be reached around the year 2030.

Oceano Community Services District (http://oceanocsd.org)

Oceano is an unincorporated area of San Luis Obispo County governed by the Oceano Community Services District (OCSD). The OCSD boundary encompasses an area approximately 1.7 square miles and includes both the town of Oceano and community of Halcyon. The current population of Oceano is estimated to be 8,300 which represents approximately 21% of the total District population. Oceano currently contributes approximately 0.59 million gallons per day of average annual raw wastewater flow to the District. The County's San Luis Bay Area Plan governs land use and development in Oceano which is comprised of large open space areas in the form of beach land, the Oceano Airport, and several agricultural fields totaling about 126 acres. The community is approximately 42% residential. Commercial areas are located along the railroad/Highway 1 corridor and along Pier Avenue. These areas account for about 20% of the total land area. A limited amount of light industrial uses are also located along the railroad corridor.

These uses occupy about 20 acres of land. The projected build out population within the current boundaries is 8,739.

Rates, Current and Future

Of the ten public agencies that provide wastewater treatment in San Luis Obispo County, the agencies that are served by the District (the City of Arroyo Grande, the City of Grover Beach and the Oceano Community Services District) have the lowest wastewater rates. When comparing District rates against the 18 agencies in Santa Barbara County, only two have lower rates.

Historically, the District has been able to keep rates low without significant increases for long periods of time. There are no plans at this time to increase rates beyond any CPI increases outlined in the 2006 rate study. The last increase was May 1, 2010. Given the age of the plant and the need to continue to meet changing regulations, it is likely rates will need to increase at some point in the near future.

Comment [MGH1]: The 2006 rate study called for CPI increases, but no implementation has occurred.

III. SCOPE OF SERVICES

The consultant shall prepare and produce a detailed comprehensive Wastewater Rate and Fee Study to allow the DISTRICT to set and collect revenues in compliance with all applicable Federal (EPA) and State (State Water Resources Control Board) Revenue Program Guidelines. The proposed rates and fees shall comply with statutory and government conservation structures including the "San Marcos Decision", Mitigation Fees Act, and Proposition 218. The revenue program must reflect a rate structure that fairly and equitably charges each sewer class user.

The scope of work for the study shall include the following:

- Provide a comparative review of the DISTRICT's current rate and fee structure. This
 review is to discuss total, single-family home rates for the collection, treatment and
 disposal of wastewater from the City of San Luis Obispo, the City of Paso Robles,
 the City of Atascadero, Laguna Sanitation District, the Nipomo Community Services
 District, the City of Santa Maria, the City of Morro Bay/Cayucos Sanitation District,
 the City of Arroyo Grande, the City of Grover Beach and the Oceano Community
 Services District.
- 2. Determine current and projected wastewater revenue requirements for the next 10 years (for both operations and capital improvements)
- 3. Allocate costs between rates and connection fees
- 4. Allocate costs among user classes through the conduct of a cost of service study
- 5. Support acquisition of grant funding and other, multiple financing options for planning, design, and construction of wastewater treatment and/or recycled water facilities. The projects listed in the District spreadsheet analysis may be considered a first phase of necessary improvements in a series of possible improvements which eventually may lead to the development of producing reclaimed water for use within District boundaries and adjacent areas.
- 6. Address the District's industrial customers (only 2 currently exist) with respect to rates based on CTR limits as prescribed by the RWQCB.
- 7. As the Member Agencies deal with water shortages in the future, it is conceivable that resulting actions could limit or slow full build out. Please discuss and evaluate a strategy appropriate to manage such impacts while implementing financing strategies to address requirements for the District capital construction.

IV. SCHEDULE

As previously stated, rates have not been adjusted since May 2010. In order to provide for the widest possible options for financing the required improvement construction, the issue of rate modifications must be completed within FY 2013-14. Evaluation of the preliminary spreadsheet analysis (District's budgetary pro forma) shall be submitted to the DISTRICT within two (2) weeks after award of contract. The DISTRICT recognizes that performance by consultant is dependent upon performance by DISTRICT. The DISTRICT shall make schedule adjustments to reflect any delays caused by DISTRICT. The draft report shall be submitted to the DISTRICT within 30 days following receipt of comments to the evaluation of the spreadsheet analysis. The final report, in hard copy and in Microsoft Word format on a digital medium, shall be submitted to the DISTRICT within 30 days of receipt of DISTRICT comments.

V. SAMPLE DISTRICT AGREEMENT

A sample District agreement can be found as Attachment A.

VI. PROPOSALS

A. Format and Content

Responding consultants will submit their proposal in sufficient detail to allow for a thorough evaluation and comprehensive analysis. The proposal will not be judged by the volume of material presented and, therefore, should be as brief and concise as possible without sacrificing the clarity of the intended concept. Proposals containing irrelevant material or an abundance of excessively vague language may be penalized in the screening process. Brevity is encouraged. The proposal should include, at a minimum, the following information in sectionalized format:

1. Introduction

The consultant is expected to present an introduction to the proposal and to describe its basic business philosophy and its understanding of the proposed project.

2. Qualifications

- a) The consultant should list the credentials, qualifications and experience of the officers of the organization and the credentials, qualifications and experience of the personnel that will be working on this project, specifically their technical experience in the development of Wastewater Rate and Fee and Alternative Funding Studies. Curriculum Vitae or personal resumes will be desirable in this regard.
- b) Professional affiliations and memberships -- please list.
- c) The consultant will be required to furnish a list of three (3) references (at a

minimum) for which similar work was conducted over the last five (5) years. Such listing should include the following:

- 1) Name of organization
- 2) Contact persons
- 3) Period of association with each client
- 4) Project title
- 5) A brief overview of the work performed for that client

3. Personnel

Identify the person to be designated as the Project Manager and provide a detailed summary of his or her background. The Project Manager will be expected to be available on all occasions for discussion with DISTRICT staff.

4. Scope of Work

Provide a detailed and specific scope of all work to be completed by the consultant.

5. Cost Proposal

In a separate sealed package, provide a breakdown of the estimated completion cost. Please include the number of hours per task, and the cost per task, including the hourly fees of the project team members.

6. Schedule

Present a comprehensive schedule to reflect the time frame or period for performing and completing the required work. Specific milestones, which are sequentially interdependent, should be clearly identified.

7. Exceptions to Contract terms

Any exceptions to District contract terms should be noted in this section.

B. Proposal Obligation and Disposition

The contents of the proposal and any clarifications thereto submitted by the successful consultant may become part of the contracted obligation and incorporated into the ensuing contract.

C. Review Procedures

1. The Consultant's selection will be "Qualifications Based". The qualifications ranking will be established prior to the review of the cost proposals. The cost proposals will remain sealed until the qualifications ranking of the consultants is established. Proposals will be judged on the basis

of their completeness, clarity of expression and how well they appear to meet the financial needs and desired result objectives of the DISTRICT. The consultant's management style, philosophy and performance of similar projects in similar organizations will be evaluated. The evaluation of responses will consider the qualifications of the consultant and any sub- consultant, the demonstrated experience of the Project Manager and Project Team, the approach for completing the work and the financial implications. Proposals will be evaluated on the basis of, but not limited to:

- a) Project Understanding- Firm understands the project needs and priorities and the DISTRICT's expectations of the selected firm.
- b) Project Approach Innovativeness shown on other projects and creative approaches to this project on firm's understanding of the DISTRICT's needs
- Recent Experience of Key Team Members Firm's key team members' experience that parallels the DISTRICT's needs.
- d) Organization-Functional and administrative interface between team members and with the DISTRICT.
- e) Staff Logistics -Location and availability of staff to be responsive to the DISTRICT on a cost effective basis.
- f) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Procedures Policy and Procedures implemented for appropriate reviews and demonstrated reliability.
- g) Financial Understanding Understanding of relative manpower demands for various project phases and tasks; reputation for meeting project performance requirements on a cost effective and competitive basis.
- Consultants may be required to make a detailed oral presentation before a special review panel.
- The DISTRICT reserves the right to award this contract not necessarily to the
 consultant with the lowest cost proposal but to the consultant who will provide the
 best overall match to the Request for Proposal requirements and who will best
 serve the interests of the DISTRICT.

VII. GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

- A. Each consultant understands and agrees that the DISTRICT, its departments, their officers, employees or agents shall not be liable for:
 - Any costs incurred by a consultant in the preparation, delivery or presentation of a proposal;
 - Any costs incurred by a consultant in meeting the criteria as a result of making or submitting a proposal or subsequently in entering into a formal agreement with the DISTRICT; and

- 3. Any errors, inaccuracies or misstatements related to the information or data supplied to any consultant by the DISTRICT. The use of such information or data provided by the DISTRICT, its officers, employees or agents is intended to be used at the sole discretion and risk of the firm in the preparation of a proposal pursuant to this Request for Proposal.
 - a) It is the consultant's responsibility to carefully examine the requirements, specifications and conditions expressed in the Request for Proposal and fully inform themselves as to the quality and character of services required.
 - b) Any changes made in the Request for Proposal will be made only by written addendum, duly issued by the District Manager to each consultant to whom the Request for Proposal was issued.
 - c) All responses to this Request for Proposal will become the property of the DISTRICT and will be retained or disposed of accordingly. The proposer must identify, in writing, all copyrighted material, trade secrets or other proprietary information that it claims is exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act, California Government Code Section 6250, et seq. Any proposer claiming such an exemption must also state in its proposal that the proposer agrees to defend any action brought against the DISTRICT for its refusal to disclose such material, trade secrets or other proprietary information to any party making request therefore. Any proposer who fails to include such a statement shall be deemed to have waived its right to an exemption from disclosure as provided by said Act.
 - d) The DISTRICT is an Equal Opportunity Employer.
 - e) The DISTRICT reserves the right to accept or reject, or to modify or cancel in part or in its entirety, this Request for Proposal.
 - f) After expiration of the time to submit proposals and continuing until an agreement has been awarded, all DISTRICT personnel involved in the Request for Proposal process will be specifically directed against holding any meetings, conferences or technical discussions with any proposer, unless in the formal setting of the oral presentation described in Section VIII, subsection
 - g) Proposers shall not communicate in any manner with DISTRICT Board or DISTRICT personnel regarding the Request for Proposal or the proposals during this period of time. Failure to comply with this requirement will automatically terminate further consideration of the bidder's proposal.
 - h) Responses to this Request for Proposal will be made in recognition of, and in conformance with, (a) through (f) above.

VIII. SUBMITTAL

The proposal package must be received no later than 4:00 pm on **July xx**, 2013. Consultants mailing or shipping their proposals must allow sufficient delivery time to ensure timely receipt of their proposals by the time and date specified. Late proposals will not be accepted. The DISTRICT

maintains the right to reject any or all proposals.

Address Request for Proposals to:

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT ATTN: MATTHEW HABER P.O. BOX 339 OCEANO, CA 93475-0339

Please identify the project on the outside of the package, i.e. <u>Proposal: Wastewater Rate. Fee</u> and Alternative Funding Study.

The proposals will be opened and recorded on July xx, 2013 at 12:00 p.m. at the District office located at 1600 Aloha Place, Oceano, California. Any respondent or their representative who would like to be present for the proposal opening is welcome to do so.

Attachments

Attachment A: Sample District Agreement Attachment B: District Budgetary Pro Forma

Attachment C: District Ordinance No. 2006-01 showing current rate schedule



SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

Post Office Box 339, Oceano, California 93475-0339 1600 Aloha Oceano, California 93445-9735 Telephone (805) 489-6666 FAX (805) 489-2765 www.sslocsd.org

To: Board of Directors

From: Paul J. Karp, Interim General Manager

Date: May 31, 2013

Subject: Triennial Benthic Sediment and Biota Monitoring and Outfall Inspection

Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Board of Directors enter into a contract with Aquatic Bioassay Consulting and Laboratories, Inc., in the amount of \$35,490.00 to perform the District's triennial benthic sediment and biota monitoring and outfall inspection.

Funding

The District budgeted \$34,000 for the triennial benthic sediment and biota monitoring and outfall inspection, with reimbursement from the City of Pismo Beach, which shares use of the outfall facility and is contractually responsible for half of the cost of the required monitoring.

Discussion

The District's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit requires sampling of the sediment and biota in the vicinity of the discharge point of the treatment plant, including inspection of the outfall structure, every three years. This sampling and inspection were last done in 2010 by Aquatic Bioassay Consulting and Laboratories, Inc.

The District distributed a Request for Proposal (RFP) on April 9, 2013 to four local firms known to be qualified to perform the requested work. The District received two responses before the May 3, 2013 deadline. Although both responsive firms provided data indicating that they would be capable of the work, Aquatic Bioassay Consulting and Laboratories, Inc. was more thorough about how they would be able to meet the requirements of the RFP, provided stronger staff qualifications, and included documentation regarding the accreditation of their laboratory. Their original proposal was \$37,315.00, but they were willing to negotiate their price to \$35,490.00 to more closely align with the District's budget. This cost is in line with similar work performed for Avila Beach. City of Pismo Beach staff participated in the selection process, concur with the selected firm, and have been informed of the negotiated cost.



SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

Post Office Box 339, Oceano, California 93475-0339 1600 Aloha Oceano, California 93445-9735 Telephone (805) 489-6666 FAX (805) 489-2765 www.sslocsd.org

Transmittal

To: Board of Directors

From: Paul J. Karp, Interim General Manager

Date: June 5, 2013

Subject: AB 371 (Salas) Update

As the Board Members will recall, the Board directed Staff to send a letter to the Local Government Committee opposing State Assembly Bill 371 (Salas) which would have allowed Kern County to adopt and enforce a ban on the land application of biosolids in that county. With the support of this Board and many others in opposition to this ill-advised draft legislation, the bill is now considered "inactive." The attached letter from the California Association of Sanitation Agencies (CASA) provides details of this process and expresses thanks to those agencies which sent letters opposing AB 371.

Major Victory for CASA - AB 371 Moved to Assembly "Inactive File"

After several weeks of strong lobbying efforts both by the CASA legislative team and significant grassroots efforts from the CASA membership, AB 371 was essentially "parked" when it was placed on the Assembly "Inactive File" during this morning's Assembly Floor Session. CASA, the City of L.A., San Francisco PUC, LACSD, OCSD, and other groups launched an "all hands on deck" effort this week, lobbying all of the Assemblymembers on the bad precedent that the bill would enact, as well as warning members of the ongoing litigation, and presented sound scientific evidence supporting the land application of biosolids. Of significant impact were the compelling letters sent in from CASA members. Many legislative offices noted that they had received numerous letters from our member agencies in their districts expressing opposition to the bill. The letters from individual agencies were also used by CASA in lobbying efforts, appealing to specific legislators by demonstrating the direct district impact of the bill.

Midway into the Session this morning, AB 371 was procedurally moved from the "Third Reading File" – where bills are set to be eligible for a vote of the entire body – to the "Inactive File" – where bills are sent when they have either failed passage, are no longer being pursued, or are dropped for another reason. While this is a significant victory for CASA and our colleagues, the bill could still technically be moved from the Inactive File in the next few weeks leading up to the deadline for bills to be passed from their House of Origin (May 31st), but at this time we believe this is unlikely. This morning's development is indicative that our efforts have paid off, and the bill probably did not have enough votes for passage.

CASA wants to express sincere gratitude for our members who took the time to write their individual legislators. These letters were the single most important tools in defeating this measure.