

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

Post Office Box 339, Oceano, California 93475-0339 1600 Aloha Oceano, California 93445-9735 Telephone (805) 489-6666 FAX (805) 489-2765 www.sslocsd.org

AGENDA BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

City of Arroyo Grande, City Council Chambers
215 East Branch Street
Arroyo Grande, California 93420

PLEASE NOTE THE ADDRESS CORRECTION.

PLEASE NOTE THE CHANGE IN MEETING LOCATION PER BOARD ACTION AT THE MEETING OF MAY 15, 2013.

Wednesday, July 3, 2013 at 6:00 P.M.

Board Members

Matthew Guerrero, Chair Tony Ferrara, Vice Chair Debbie Peterson, Director

Agencies

Oceano Community Services District City of Arroyo Grande City of Grover Beach

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT APPEARING ON AGENDA

This public comment period is an invitation to members of the community to present comments, thoughts or suggestions on matters not scheduled on this agenda. Comments should be limited to those matters which are within the jurisdiction of the District. The Brown Act restricts the Board from taking formal action on matters not published on the agenda. In response to your comments, the Chair or presiding Board Member may:

- Direct Staff to assist or coordinate with you.
- It may be the desire of the Board to place your issue or matter on a future Board meeting agenda.

Please adhere to the following procedures when addressing the Board:

- Comments should be limited to three (3) minutes or less.
- Your comments should be directed to the Board as a whole and not directed to individual Board members.
- Slanderous, profane or personal remarks against any Board Member,
 Staff or member of the audience shall not be permitted.

Any writing or document pertaining to an open-session item on this agenda which is distributed to a majority of the Board after the posting of this agenda will be available for public inspection at the time the subject writing or document is distributed. The writing or document will be available for public review in the offices of the Oceano CSD, a member agency located at 1655 Front Street, Oceano, California. Consistent with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and California Government Code §54954.2, requests for disability related modification

or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services may be made by a person with a disability who requires modification or accommodation in order to participate at the above referenced public meeting by contacting the General Manager or Bookkeeper/Secretary at (805) 481-6903.

3. CONSENT AGENDA

The following routine items listed below are scheduled for consideration as a group. Each item is recommended for approval unless noted. Any member of the public who wishes to comment on any Consent Agenda item may do so at this time. Any Board Member may request that any item be withdrawn from the Consent Agenda to permit discussion or to change the recommended course of action. The Board may approve the remainder of the Consent Agenda on one motion.

- 3A. Review and Approval of the Minutes of the June 19, 2013 meeting
- 3B. Review and Approval of Warrants

4. PLANT SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

5. BOARD ACTION ON INDIVIDUAL ITEMS:

A. FY 2013/14 ANNUAL BUDGET

Staff recommends the Board review the draft of the proposed Budget for FY 2013/14 and consider adopting a Final Budget after a public hearing at the July 17, 2013 meeting.

B. FINANCIAL CONSULTING REVIEW COMMITTEE

Staff recommends the Board appoint members to a committee which will review proposals from qualified firms in order to recommend the hiring of a financial consultant for the District.

C. PERSONNEL POLICIES MANUAL AMENDMENT

Staff recommends the Board amend the Personnel Policies Manual by adopting Resolution No. 2013-309.

D. SCADA INTEGRATION REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

Staff recommends the Board review the draft Request for Proposals soliciting bids on the Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system integration.

E. PROCESS IMPROVEMENT PLAN COMPLETION REPORT TO REGIONAL WATER BOARD

Staff recommends the Board review the draft report to the Regional Water Quality Control Board regarding the implementation of process improvements.

F. SPECIAL DISTRICT RISK MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY (SDRMA) INSURANCE RENEWAL

Staff recommends the Board approve renewing the District's insurance with SDRMA for FY 2013/14 in the amount of \$30,307.22.

6. MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS

- A. Miscellaneous Oral Communications
- **B**. Miscellaneous Written Communications

7. ADJOURNMENT

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

Oceano Community Services District 1655 Front Street Oceano, CA 93445

Minutes of the Meeting of Wednesday, June 19, 2013 6:00 P.M.

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

Present: Chair Matthew Guerrero, Oceano Community Services District; Vice Chair Tony

Ferrara, City of Arroyo Grande; Director Debbie Peterson, City of Grover Beach.

District Staff in Attendance: Shannon Sweeney, Temporary Interim District Manager; Mike

Seitz, District Counsel; John Clemons, Plant Superintendent;

Matthew Haber, Bookkeeper/Secretary.

Others in Attendance: Rick Sweet

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT APPEARING ON THE AGENDA

Director Guerrero asked for public comments.

Ms. Julie Tacker thanked Plant Superintendent Clemons for providing a tour of the Plant to her and to Jeff Edwards.

There being no more comments, Director Guerrero closed the public comment period.

3. CONSENT AGENDA

Director Guerrero asked for public comments regarding the Consent Agenda.

Ms. Tacker asked about Andre, Buttery and Morris' relationship in representing John Wallace in the two cases against him.

There being no more comments, Director Guerrero closed the public comment period.

<u>Action</u>: It was moved by Director Peterson to approve Consent Agenda Items 3A - 3D as presented. Director Ferrara seconded, and the motion was carried unanimously.

4. PLANT SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

Plant Superintendent Clemons presented the Plant Superintendent's Report which shows that the Plant is running well and in compliance with regulatory limits.

Action: The Board received and filed the Plant Superintendent's report.

5. BOARD ACTION ON INDIVIDUAL ITEMS

A. FY 2013/14 ANNUAL BUDGET

Temporary Interim District Manager Sweeney presented the staff recommendation that the Board review changes made to the draft of the proposed Budget for the 2013/14 Fiscal Year.

.

Director Peterson expressed concerns about the budget not being in balance. She suggested that Staff look for ways to balance the budget.

Director Ferrara asked about whether the Capital Replacement Program includes projects from the long-range plan. He also suggested that Director Guerrero take a look at what comes up before the next meeting so that one Member at least has a preview of the next budget.

Counsel Seitz provided an explanation of the distinction between what reserves are and what funds the District has as an enterprise-type district.

Director Guerrero asked for public comments. There being none, Director Guerrero closed the public comment period.

<u>Action</u>: The Board provided direction to Staff to work with Director Guerrero to balance the District's budget.

B. SCADA ANALYSIS

Temporary Interim District Manager Sweeney presented the staff recommendation that the Board review the report on the Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system.

Director Guerrero asked for public comments. There being none, Director Guerrero closed the public comment period.

<u>Action</u>: The Board provided direction to Staff to bring back a Request for Proposals for Board approval.

C. PERSONNEL POLICY

Temporary Interim District Manager Sweeney presented the staff recommendation that the Board review the proposed changes to the Personnel Policies Manual.

Director Guerrero asked for public comments.

There being none, Director Guerrero closed the public comment period.

<u>Action</u>: The Board provided direction to Staff to bring back a resolution to make the proposed changes to the Personnel Policies Manual.

6. MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS

A. Miscellaneous Oral Communications

Director Peterson invited everyone to a workshop on the Brown Act being held at the City of Grover Beach on Wednesday, June 26 at 6:30 p.m.

Director Peterson also spoke about doing background checks on new employees and having a discussion on having a forensic audit performed. Director Guerrero suggested that a discussion regarding a forensic audit be placed on the agenda for the July 17, 2013 Board meeting.

B. Miscellaneous Written Communications

7. PUBLIC COMMENT ON CLOSED SESSION

.

Counsel Seitz corrected the name of the case in "SSLOCSD v. U.S. Energy." He said that there would be no report on that case at this meeting.

Director Guerrero asked for public comments.

Ms. Tacker asked whether Johnson v. Wallace had been placed on a previous agenda.

There being no more public comments, Director Guerrero closed the public comment period.

8. CLOSED SESSION

- (1) Conference with Legal Counsel pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9; 2 cases
 - a. Carter et al. v. Wallace
 - b. Johnson v. Wallace
- (2) Pursuant to Govt. Code Section 54957: Discussion of appointment or employment of public employee

9. RETURN TO OPEN SESSION; REPORT ON CLOSED SESSION

Counsel Seitz reported that the Board had approved the contract with Rick Sweet to stand in for Paul Karp in Mr. Karp's absence.

10. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Board, Director Guerrero adjourned the meeting at approximately 7:15 p.m.

THESE MINUTES ARE DRAFT AND NOT OFFICIAL UNTIL APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AT A SUBSEQUENT MEETING.

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT WARRANT REGISTER 7/3/2013 FY 2012/13

ISSUED TO	PURCHASE/SERVICE	3/2013 FY 2012/13 INV. # / SERVICE PERIOD	WARRANT NO.	ACCT	ACCT BRKDN	TOTAL
AMERICAN INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY	MISC SUPPLIES	0249082-IN 0253026-IN	070313-7752	8060	258.86	258.86
AMIAD WATER SYSTEMS	WATER FILTER PARTS	730000603	53	8030	47.61	47.61
ARAMARK UNIFORMS	EMPLOYEE UNIFORMS	7954008 7970889	54	7025	490.00	490.00
AT&T	TELEPHONE SERVICE	JUNE	55	7013	389.81	389.81
BRENNTAG PACIFIC, INC	PLANT CHEMICALS	318162 318163	56	8050	9,903.47	9,903.47
CA ELECTRIC SUPPLY	ELEC SYS UPGRADE	7826-527632 7826-527724 04 MBI 16	57	26/8065	507.17	507.17
DEBBIE PETERSON	BOARD SERVICE	JUNE	58	7075	200.00	200.00
FEDEX	SHIPPING	2-304-77945 2-312-53344	59	8045	78.97	78.97
FERGUSON ENTERPRISES	VALVE FOR RECIRC PROJECT	9293887 12 MBI 02	60	19/8061	909.45	909.45
FGL ENVIRONMENTAL	CHEMICAL ANALYSIS	381233A 381989A	61	7078	4,637.00	4,637.00
FISHER SCIENTIFIC	LAB SUPPLIES	8005599	62	8040	53.49	53.49
FLO-SYSTEMS INC	PUMP PARTS	F14156 - 13X207-7	63	8030	177.04	177.04
FORK LIFT SPECIALTIES, INC.	PRESSURE WASHER PARTS	15038810	64	8030	102.64	102.64
GORDON SAND	SAND FOR SLUDGE BEDS	0622620-IN	65	8060	1,683.45	1,683.45
HDS WHITE CAP CONSTRUCTION SUPPLY	TOOLS	50000203269	66	8055	72.54	72.54
JB DEWAR INC	VEHICLE FUEL	31831	67	8020	389.67	389.67
JWC ENVIRONMENTAL	AUGER SUPPLIES	52822	68	8030	327.75	327.75
KNECHT'S PLUMBING & HEATING	BOILER SERVICE	36276 36327	69	8030	616.64	616.64
MATTHEW GUERRERO	BOARD SERVICE	JUNE	70	7075	200.00	200.00
MID-STATE CONCRETE PRODUCTS	RISERS AND TRAFFIC GRATE	41453 12 MBI 02	71	19/8061	817.29	817.29
POOR RICHARD'S PRESS	PRINTING SERVICE	253378	72	8045	590.90	590.90
PRAXAIR	WELDING SUPPLIES	46424887	73	8060	45.95	45.95
R.S. FIRE PROTECTION	FIRE EXTINGUISHER SERVICE	A52813X1 SSD62613	74	8056	407.76	407.76
STEMAR EQUIPMENT & SUPPLY	PIPE PLUG FOR 12 MBI 02	ST190613	75	19/8061	395.49	395.49
STEVE DOSHIER	CONCRETE WALL REINFORCEMENT	5137 12 MBI 02	76	19/8061	250.00	250.00
TLT TRUCKING	SAND TRANSPORT	5561	77	8060	252.44	252.44
TONY FERRARA	BOARD SERVICE	JUNE	78	7075	200.00	200.00
UNDERGROUND SERVICE ALERT	DIG ALERT SERVICE	13060036	79	7011	100.50	100.50
UNITED RENTALS	ROAD PLATE RENTAL	110929453-002 12 MBI 02	80	19/8061	576.75	576.75
UNITED STAFFING	CONTRACT LABOR	74058 74322 74546	81	6085	4,488.00	4,488.00
WW GRAINGER	SAFETY SUPPLIES	9164664261	82	8056	730.14	730.14
SUB TOTAL					29,900.78	29,900.78
PAYROLL	PPE 06/14/2013				23,282.15	23,282.15
GRAND TOTAL					53,182.93	53,182.93

We hereby certify that the demands numbered serially from 070313-7752 to 070313-7782 together with the supporting evidence have been examined, and that they comply with the requirements of the SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT. The demands are hereby approved by motion of the SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT, together with warrants authorizing and ordering the issuance of checks numbered identically with the particular demands and warrants.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS:	DATE:
Chairman	Poord Mombor
Chairman	Board Member
Board Member	Secretary

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT WARRANT REGISTER 7/3/2013 FY 2013/14

ISSUED TO	PURCHASE/SERVICE	INV. # / SERVICE PERIOD	WARRANT NO.	ACCT	ACCT BRKDN	TOTAL
CHARTER	INTERNET SERVICE	JULY	070313-7783	7011	60.88	60.88
CITY NATIONAL BANK	COGEN SALES AGREEMENT	INSTALLMENT DUE 8/16/2013	84	7096	37,398.30	37,398.30
CA DEPT. OF PUBLIC HEALTH - ELAP	FIELD OF TESTING FEE		85	7068	452.00	452.00
PERS MEDICAL	EMPLOYEE MEDICAL	JULY	86	6010	17,539.97	17,539.97
SDRMA	2013-14 INSURANCE COVERAGE	43717	87	7043	30,307.22	30,307.22
SLO COUNTY AUDITOR-CONTROLLER	LAFCO BUDGET SHARE	FY 2013/14	88	7069	10,632.51	10,632.51
WILLIAM ROMHILD	MEDICAL REIMBURSEMENT	FY 2013/14	89	6075	500.00	500.00
SUB TOTAL					96,890.88	96,890.88
GRAND TOTAL					96,890.88	96,890.88

We hereby certify that the demands numbered serially from 070313-7783 to 070313-7789 together with the supporting evidence have been examined, and that they comply with the requirements of the SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT. The demands are hereby approved by motion of the SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT, together with warrants authorizing and ordering the issuance of checks numbered identically with the particular demands and warrants.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS:	DATE:
Chairman	Board Member
Board Member	Secretary



SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

Post Office Box 339, Oceano, California 93475-0339 1600 Aloha Oceano, California 93445-9735 Telephone (805) 489-6666 FAX (805) 489-2765 www.sslocsd.org

To: Board of Directors

From: John L. Clemons, Plant Superintendent **Via**: Paul J. Karp, Interim District Manager

Date: July 3, 2013 Meeting

Subject: Superintendent's Report

Chart 1 – Plant Data

May	FLOW	Peak	INF	EFF BOD	INF TSS	EFF TSS	Fecal	Cl2	
2013	MGD	MGD	BOD	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	Coli	lbs/day	
			mg/L						
Average	2.5	4.06	231	6.27	330	23.44	30.87	340	
High	3.0	5.30	437	24.7	590	28.0	500	687	
Limit	5.0	5.0		<mark>40</mark>		<mark>40</mark>			
*June									
2013									
`Average	2.45	4.04	341.5	18.8	415	24.25		333	
High	2.61	4.6	350	26.9	480	26	1600	649	

^{*} Through June 25, 2013

Plant data for June 2013 are as follows:

Average daily flow was 2.5 MGD. Plant maximum design flow capacity is 5.0 MGD Average effluent biochemical oxygen demand was 18.8 mg/L Average effluent totals suspended solids were 24.25 mg/L Permit limits for monthly BOD and TSS averages are 40 mg/l for each.

BOD and chlorine usage values are higher in June than in the previous month. This could be due in part to the increased load generated by summer tourism in the area. The BOD value of 18.8 mg/L is still 43 % lower than June of last year. TSS is about 25 % lower than in June 2012.

Chlorine use has averages 333 lbs per day thus far in June.

Staff has completed interviews for the Lab Tech/Operator position. Background checks will be initiated for the highest ranking candidate.

Staff participated in a Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) safety training class.

The recirculation piping project has been completed. A flow meter will be added to the piping to monitor return flow from the secondary clarifier.

There was a power outage (approx. 4 hrs) on the night of June 23rd. Operator Jackman responded to the SSLOCSD WWTP. Plant CPO J. Clemons also responded. Emergency equipment functioned as designed. Plant processes continued operating on emergency power until PG&E restored external power.



SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

Post Office Box 339, Oceano, California 93475-0339 1600 Aloha Oceano, California 93445-9735 Telephone (805) 489-6666 FAX (805) 489-2765 www.sslocsd.org

Staff Report

To:

Board of Directors

From:

Paul J. Karp, Interim District Manager

Date:

July 3, 2013

Subject: Draft of District Budget for Fiscal Year 2013-14

Recommendation:

It is the staff recommendation that the Directors review changes to the draft of the proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2013-14, for consideration of adoption after a public hearing at the July 17th Board Meeting.

Funding:

Not applicable.

Discussion:

In order to prepare for adoption of the final budget for FY 2013-14, staff has prepared another draft of the proposed Fiscal Year 2013-14 budget for further review and recommendation by the Board.

At the request of the Board of Directors, staff worked with Chair Guerrero to adjust the budget so that operating revenue matches operating expenses. Adjustments that were made to achieve this goal are highlighted in the attached draft budget.



SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT FY 2013/14 Proposed Budget Consolidated

2013-14 Proposed Budget Consolidated	Fund 19 Operating Fund	Fund 20 Expansion Fund	Fund 26 Replacement Fund	FY 2013/14 Total	
Revenues					
Gross Revenues					
Service Charges and Fees	3,013,500			3,013,500	
Connection Fees	0,010,000	155,925		155,925	
Interest	500	5,200		5,700	
Other Revenues	102,370	0,200		102,370	
Total Revenues	3,116,370	161,125	0	3,277,495	
Expenditures & Other Uses					
Operating Expenditures					
Salaries and Wages	644,095			644,095	
Employee Benefits and Other Personnel Costs	549,761			549,761	
Permits, Fees and Licenses	43,860			43,860	
Communications	9.580			9,580	
Computer Support	10,000			10,000	
Administrative Costs	829,774			829,774	
Disposal Services	92,700			92,700	
Utilities	151,530			151,530	
Maintenance, Tools & Replacements	426,430			426,430	
Materials, Services and Supplies	347,010			347,010	
Training, Education & Memberships	11,630			11,630	
Total Operating Expenditures	3,116,370			3,116,370	
Total Other Charges	122,350			122,350	
Capital Replacement/Maintenance (Fund 26)			953,344	953,344	Schedule A
Capital Outlay					
Capital Equipment	15,000	100,000		115,000	
Expansion	<u> 157000</u>	1,392,000		1,392,000	Note 1
Total Capital Outlay	15,000	1,492,000		1,507,000	11010 1
Other Financing Sources & Uses					
Transfers Out (In)	672,709		(672,709)	0	
Total Other Financing Sources & Uses	672,709		(672,709)	0	
Beginning Cash Balance Used for Funding	0	1,330,875	280,635	1,611,510	
runung	U	1,330,675	200,635	1,611,510	
Net Change (Deficit)	(810,061)	0	0	(810,061)	
Note 1: Total \$11.6M for expansion includes \$8.2M removal, and \$1M for splitter box repairs. T					

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT FY 2013/14 Proposed Budget Fund 19 - Operating Fund

2013-14 Proposed Budget Fund 19 - Operating Fund	PRIOR YEAR FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 YTD 03-31-13 9 MONTHS	FY 2012-13 PROJECTION TO 06-30-12	FY 2012-13 ADJUSTED BUDGET	FY 2013-14 PROPOSED BUDGET
Revenues					
Gross Revenues					
Other Revenues	<u>136,158</u>	<u>50,976</u>	<u>66,846</u>	<u>153,925</u>	<u>102,370</u>
Total Revenues	3,168,955	2,123,017	2,829,568	3,168,175	3,116,370
Expenditures & Other Uses					
Operating Expenditures					
Salaries and Wages	500,520	352,085	469,446	511,570	644,095
Employee Benefits and Other Personnel	360,461	304,996	406,661	445,611	549,761
Permits, Fees and Licenses	40,841	21,717	28,956	42,500	43,860
Communications	10,682	5,571	7,428	9,292	9,580
Computer Support	9,699	10,356	13,808	16,000	10,000
Administrative Costs	863,277	806,658	1,075,266	1,209,581	829,774
Disposal Services	50,177	57,501	76,668	90,000	92,700
Utilities	140,833	121,783	162,378	170,750	151,530
Maintenance, Tools & Replacements	248,775	265,523	354,031	593,825	426,430
Materials, Services and Supplies	458,419	332,198	442,931	393,500	347,010
Training, Education & Memberships	24,585	<u>5,388</u>	<u>7,184</u>	<u>21,000</u>	11,630
Total Operating Expenditures	2,708,269	2,283,777	3,044,757	3,503,629	3,116,370
Total Other Charges				120,000	122,350
Capital Outlay					
Capital Equipment	24,259	17,044	22,725	<u>54,256</u>	<u>15,000</u>
Total Capital Outlay	24,259	17,044	22,725	54,256	15,000
Other Financing Sources & Uses					
Transfers In					
Transfers Out			-	<u> </u>	672,709
Total Other Financing Sources & Uses					672,709
Total Uses				3,677,885	3,926,430
Unfunded Appropriation - Cash from Fund 20 is Used				(509,710)	(810,060)

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT FY 2013/14 Proposed Budget Fund 19 - Operating Fund

	1		I				
		PRIOR YEAR	FY 2012-13	FY 2012-13	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	
2013-14 Proposed Budget		FY 2011-12	YTD 03-31-13	PROJECTION	ADJUSTED		NOTE
Fund 19 - Operating Fund		ACTUAL	9 MONTHS	TO 06-30-12	BUDGET	BUDGET	NO.
Revenues Gross Revenues							
Service Charges and Fees	A Creeds Conins	4 450 704	4.005.005	1 000 100	4 440 500	4 440 500	
19-4015 19-4022	Arroyo Grande Services Grover Beach Services	1,456,784 1,064,832	1,085,665 789,426	1,628,498 1,052,568	1,440,500 1,050,000	1,440,500 1,050,000	
19-4035	OCSD Services	484,431	290,899	387,866	500,000	500,000	
19-4045	School Services	<u>25,479</u>	3,908	23,000	23,000	23,000	
Total Service Charges and Fees		3,031,526	2,169,899	3,091,932	3,013,500	3,013,500	
Interest							
19-5015	Interest	1,270	795	1,059	750	500	
Total Interest		1,270	795	1,059	750	500	
Other Revenues							
19-4040 19-4050	Pismo Beach Reimbursement Brine Disposal Service	7,630	10,464	13,952	20,900	17,745 20,900	
19-4055	Lease (AT&T)	22,571	17,645	23,526	22,525	22,525	
19-5020	Other Reimbursements	300	3,365	3,365	250	, ,	
19-5021	FEMA Funding	73,504	0	0	30,000		
19-5022	WDR Reimburs. (MAs)	7,342	1,327	1,769	10,000	10,300	
19-5023	FOG Reimbursement	24,810	18,175	24,234	30,000	30,900	
19-5025	Other Sales		0	0	250		
19-5030	IRWM Funding	136,158	50,976	0 66,846	40,000 153.925	102,370	1
Total Other Revenues		136,158	50,976	66,846	153,925	102,370	
Total Revenues		3,168,955	2,221,669	3,159,837	3,168,175	3,116,370	
Expenditures & Other Uses		+					-
Operating Expenditures							
Speraling Experiences							
Salaries and Wages							
19-6030	Plant Operators	460,642	317,695	423,593	464,862	591,239	
19-6040 Total Salaries and Wages	Bookkeeper/Secretary	39,878 500,520	34,390 352,085	45,853 469,446	46,708 511,570	52,856 644,095	
Total Salaries and wages		500,520	352,065	409,440	511,570	644,095	
Employee Benefits and Other Personnel Costs							
19-6010 19-6025	Medical Insurance Dental Insurance	141,009 9,699	113,578 7,122	151,437 9,496	158,069 11,200	246,000 14,330	
19-6050	Social Security & Medicare	37,095	26,934	35,913	39,135	49,273	
19-6055	State Disability Insurance	2,626	1,752	2,337	2,558 92,486	3,220	
19-6060 19-6075	State Retirement Medical Reimbursement	86,717 3,137	55,839 1,590	74,453 2,120	92,486 4,000	115,937 5,000	
19-6080	Worker's Compensation	65,816	97,280	129,706	125,000	115,000	
Total Employee Benefits and Other	Unemployment Reimbursement	14,363 360,461	900 304,996	1,200 406,661	13,163 445,611	1,000 549,761	
Personnel Costs		300,401	304,330	400,001	443,011	343,701	
Permits, Fees and Licenses							
19-6090	Payroll Process Fee	2,359	1,617	2,156	2,500	2,660	
Total Permits, Fees and Licenses	Permits/Fees/Licenses	38,482 40,841	20,100 21,717	26,800 28,956	40,000 42,500	41,200 43,860	-
Total Permits, Pees and Licenses		40,041	21,717	20,930	42,300	43,000	
Communications 19-7011	Comm (Alarm, Internet, USA, Web Hosting)	2,519	2,071	2,761	3,180	3,280	
19-7013	Communications - Telephone	7,967	3,377	4,503	5,912	6,090	
19-7014	Communications - Paging	195	123	<u>164</u>	200	210	
Total Communications		10.682	5.571	7.428	9.292	9.580	
Computer Support							
19-7015 Total Computer Support	Office Equpment/Computer Supplies	9,699 9,699	10,356 10,356	13,808 13,808	16,000 16,000	10,000 10,000	
		3,039	10,330	13,008	10,000	10,000	
Administrative Costs	Advantigementall and 9 Promit	4 700	4.571		0.000	0.000	
19-7005 19-7043	Advertisements/Legal & Recruit Insurance Liability - Auto	1,766 23,368	1,574 21,030	2,098 28,039	2,000 28,006	2,060 30,807	
19-7065	Source Control Program	27,096	41,596	55,461	80,000	15,000	
19-7069 19-7070	LAFCO Budget Share Prof Services - Outside Counsel/Litigation	8,520	9,235 393,232	12,313 524,309	9,235 430,000	9,510	
	ACL-related	<u> </u>	393,232	524,509	450,000	150,000	L
	Personnel-related	+				75,000 100,000	
	Cogen-related Litigation (Legal Counsel)	+				75,000	
19-7071	Prof Services - District Counsel	270,221	33,177	44,236	45,000	46,350	
19-7072 19-7073	Prof Services - Auditing Prof Services - AG Billing	5,450 12,497	5,000 7,310	6,667 9,747	5,640 20,000	5,810 22,000	
19-7074	Prof Services - OCSD Bill	4,930	0	0	10,000	22,000	
19-7075	Prof Services - Board Members	6,900	5,600	7,467	7,200	7,420	
19-7076	Prof Services - District Administration Prof Services - Engineering	149,259 130,908	80,929 64,492	107,906 85,990	120,000 125,000	86,500 100,000	
I19-7077		59,126	24,092	32,123	60,000	10,000	
19-7077 19-7078	Prof Services Chemical Analysis			5.077	10,000	10,300	1
19-7078 19-7079	Prof Services - Other Bill	15,312	3,957	5,277			1
19-7078	Prof Services - Other Bill Prof Services - GB Bill WDR & SSMP District Expenses	15,312 4,000 114,918	3,957 0 107,525	5,277 0 143,367	9,000 155,000	22,000 10,657	
19-7078 19-7079 19-7081 19-7087 19-7088	Prof Services - Other Bill Prof Services - GB Bill WDR & SSMP District Expenses Water Recycling Study	4,000	0 107,525 837	0	9,000 155,000 40,000	22,000 10,657	
19-7078 19-7079 19-7081 19-7087	Prof Services - Other Bill Prof Services - GB Bill WDR & SSMP District Expenses	4,000 114,918	0 107,525	0 143,367	9,000 155,000	22,000 10,657	

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT FY 2013/14 Proposed Budget Fund 19 - Operating Fund

Control Number Cont	2013-14 Proposed Budget		PRIOR YEAR FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13 YTD 03-31-13	FY 2012-13 PROJECTION	FY 2012-13 ADJUSTED	FY 2013-14 PROPOSED	NOTE
Commonwealth Comm	Fund 19 - Operating Fund		ACTUAL	9 MONTHS	TO 06-30-12	BUDGET	BUDGET	NO.
STATE Six Si								
Total Discosal Services								
Difference Dif		Brine Disposal Expenses						
19791 United Sections 122 122 122 125 12	Total Disposal Services		00,111	07,001	70,000	50,000	02,700	
197992 Dates Case		Lucia El Con	400.007	400 400	400 500	445.000	105.000	
19768 Utilities Utilities 100 120 1200								-
Total Utilities								
Control Cont		Utilities - Water						
19709 Ocean Cutal Maintenance 32 / 26 1,099 0 3,5489 1,000 30 / 30 / 30 / 30 / 30 / 30 / 30 /	Total Utilities		140,833	121,783	162,378	170,750	151,530	
19790 Ocean Outsile Maintenance 32 63 1,098 0 3,5489 1,000 3	Maintenance, Tools & Replacements							
193515	19-7060							
1990 Equip Mater Regis part March Registerenest 79,001 18,000 190,208 190,000 150,000 1,000								
19-902								<u> </u>
18-505								1
State	19-8055	Tools	12,636	4,995	6,661	12,500	12,880	
Total Maintenance, Tools & Replacements								
Marciest, Services and Supples Temporary Labor Services B6,055 49,340 65,700 65,000 13,000 13,000 12,000 12,000 13,000 12,000 13,000 12,000 13,000 12,000 12,000 13,000 12,000 13,000 12,000 13,000 10,0		Structure Maintenance - Major						
19-0905	Total Maintenance, Tools & Replacements		248,775	265,523	354,031	593,825	426,430	
Femorary Later Services 60,006 49,946 69,796 65,000 13,000 12	Materials, Services and Supplies							1
19-7025 Employee Inflormes 12,786 0,162 12,710 12,000 12,000 5,150		Temporary Labor Services	86,095	49,349	65,799	65,000	13,000	
19-9030	19-7025							
19,8035								
18-8040 Laboratory Supples 11.618 9.697 12.089 11.500								<u> </u>
19-8045								
Total Materials, Services and Supplies 15,000				3,700		8,000	8,240	
Total Materials, Services and Supplies Training, Education & Memberships Total Training, Education & Memberships 24,585 5,388 7,184 21,000 11,630 Total Operating Expenditures 2,708,269 2,283,777 3,044,757 3,503,629 3,116,370 Total Operating Expenditures 2,708,269 2,283,777 3,044,757 3,503,629 3,116,370 Total Operating Expenditures 15,766 67,355 89,969 75,000 76,000 16,7082 FEMA Expenditures 15,194 2,006 2,724 5,000 5,150 19,7082 FEMA Expenditures 19,194 2,006 2,724 5,000 1,000 10,300 10,300 10,300 10,300 10,300 Total Other Charges 10,400 Capital Cultury Capital Equipment 24,269 17,044 22,725 54,256 15,000 Total Other Charges 17,044 122,725 54,256 15,000 Other Financing Sources & Uses Transfer out or Fund 28 Total Outer Financing Sources & Uses Total Other Financing Sources & Uses Signature Sources Sourc								
Taning_Education & Memberships Membershi		Safety Supplies						
197959 Nemberships 24,585 5,388 7,184 21,000 11,836	Total Materials, Services and Supplies		458,419	332,198	442,931	393,500	347,010	
197959 Nemberships 24,585 5,388 7,184 21,000 11,836	Training, Education & Memberships							
Total Operating Expenditures		Memberships/Seminars/Meetings	24,585	5,388	<u>7,184</u>	21,000	11,630	ı
Total Operating Expenditures	Total Training, Education & Memberships	·					11.630	
Other Charges Cogeneration EISA - Debt Principal and Interest 19.7096 Cogeneration EISA - Debt Principal and Interest 73.766 67.356 89.809 75.000 76.000 19.7083 WDR & SSMM Reporting (MAs) 19.7084 FEMA Expenditures 19.194 2.096 2.794 5.000 5.190 19.7083 WDR & SSMM Reporting (MAs) 7.342 1.327 1.709 10.000 10.300 10.300 10.300 Total Other Charges Capital Cutley Capital Cutley Capital Cutley Capital Cutley Capital Cutley 24.259 17.044 22.725 54.256 15.000 Total Capital Outlay 24.259 17.044 22.725 54.256 15.000 Total Capital Outlay Cother Financing Sources & Uses Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation - Cash from Fund 20 is Used N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a Transfer Out to Fund 26 to fund the Capital Replacement Frogram. This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund expenditures for operations and those for capital replacements. N2 - in FY 2013/13, the budget presented did not show the funding source in Fund 28 for its appropriation of \$1,280,386.	3,		,,,,,,	,,,,,,,	, -	,	,	
19-7096	Total Operating Expenditures		2,708,269	2,283,777	3,044,757	3,503,629	3,116,370	
19-7096 Cogeneration 159A - Debt Principal and Interest 73,766 87,356 89,809 75,000 76								
19-7082								
19-7083								
Total Other Charges								
19-6010 Capital Outlay Capital Equipment 24.259 17.044 22.725 54.256 15.000								
Total Capital Outlay 24,259 17,044 22,725 54,256 15,000	Total Other Charges		125,269	92,949	123,933	120,000	122,350	
Total Capital Outlay 24,259 17,044 22,725 54,256 15,000	_							
Total Capital Outlay								
Other Financing Sources & Uses Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses O 672,709 N1 Total Uses Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - Cash from Fund 20 is Used (509,710) Deficit in Fund 26 (1,260,396) N1 Notes: N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a Transfer Out to Fund 26 to fund the Capital Replacement Program. This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund expenditures for operations and those for capital replacements. N2 - In FY 2012/13, the budget presented did not show the funding source in Fund 26 for its appropriation of \$1,260,396. The funding source should have come from Fund 19 as an inter-fund transfer.		Capital Equipment						
Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses 0 672,709 N1 Total Uses 3,677,885 3,926,429 Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - Cash from Fund 20 is Used (509,710) (810,060) N1 Deficit in Fund 26 (1,260,396) Total Deficit - 2012/13 (1,770,106) N2 Notes: N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a Transfer Out to Fund 26 to fund the Capital Replacement Program. This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund expenditures for operations and those for capital replacements. N2 - In FY 2012/13, the budget presented did not show the funding source in Fund 26 for its appropriation of \$1,260,396. The funding source should have come from Fund 19 as an inter-fund transfer.	Total Capital Outlay		24,259	17,044	22,725	54,256	15,000	
Transfer out to Fund 26 Total Other Financing Sources & Uses 0 672,709 N1 Total Uses 3,677,885 3,926,429 Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - Cash from Fund 20 is Used (509,710) (810,060) N1 Deficit in Fund 26 (1,260,396) Total Deficit - 2012/13 (1,770,106) N2 Notes: N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a Transfer Out to Fund 26 to fund the Capital Replacement Program. This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund expenditures for operations and those for capital replacements. N2 - In FY 2012/13, the budget presented did not show the funding source in Fund 26 for its appropriation of \$1,260,396. The funding source should have come from Fund 19 as an inter-fund transfer.	Other Financing Sources & Uses							
Total Uses 3,677,885 3,926,429 Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - Cash from Fund 20 is Used (509,710) (810,060) N1 Deficit in Fund 26 (1,260,396) Total Deficit - 2012/13 (1,770,106) N2 Notes: N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a Transfer Out to Fund 26 to fund the Capital Replacement Program. This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund expenditures for operations and those for capital replacements. N2 - In FY 2012/13, the budget presented did not show the funding source in Fund 26 for its appropriation of \$1,260,396. The funding source should have come from Fund 19 as an inter-fund transfer.							672,709	
Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - Cash from Fund 20 is Used (509,710) (810,060) N1 Deficit in Fund 26 (1,260,396) Total Deficit - 2012/13 (1,770,106) N2 Notes: N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a Transfer Out to Fund 26 to fund the Capital Replacement Program. This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund expenditures for operations and those for capital replacements. The funding source should have come from Fund 19 as an inter-fund transfer.	Total Other Financing Sources & Uses					0	672,709	N1
Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - Cash from Fund 20 is Used (509,710) (810,060) N1 Deficit in Fund 26 (1,260,396) Total Deficit - 2012/13 (1,770,106) N2 Notes: N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a Transfer Out to Fund 26 to fund the Capital Replacement Program. This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund expenditures for operations and those for capital replacements. The funding source should have come from Fund 19 as an inter-fund transfer.								
Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation - Cash from Fund 20 is Used (509,710) (810,060) N1 Deficit in Fund 26 (1,260,396) Total Deficit - 2012/13 (1,770,106) N2 Notes: N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a Transfer Out to Fund 26 to fund the Capital Replacement Program. This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund expenditures for operations and those for capital replacements. The funding source should have come from Fund 19 as an inter-fund transfer.	Total Uses					3 677 885	3 926 429	
Cash from Fund 20 is Used (509,710) (810,060) N1 Deficit in Fund 26 (1,260,396) Total Deficit - 2012/13 (1,770,106) N2 Notes: N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a Transfer Out to Fund 26 to fund the Capital Replacement Program. This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund expenditures for operations and those for capital replacements. The funding source should have come from Fund 19 as an inter-fund transfer.	. 5 5555					5,5.7,555	0,020,720	1
Cash from Fund 20 is Used (509,710) (810,060) N1 Deficit in Fund 26 (1,260,396) Total Deficit - 2012/13 (1,770,106) N2 Notes: N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a Transfer Out to Fund 26 to fund the Capital Replacement Program. This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund expenditures for operations and those for capital replacements. The funding source should have come from Fund 19 as an inter-fund transfer.	Definit/Hafrandad Approximation							
Notes: N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a Transfer Out to Fund 26 to fund the Capital Replacement Program. This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund expenditures for operations and those for capital replacements. The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a Transfer Out to Fund 26 to fund the Capital Replacement Program. This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund expenditures for operations and those for capital replacements. The funding source should have come from Fund 19 as an inter-fund transfer.								l
Notes: N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a Transfer Out to Fund 26 to fund the Capital Replacement Program. This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund expenditures for operations and those for capital replacements. 22 - In FY 2012/13, the budget presented did not show the funding source in Fund 26 for its appropriation of \$1,260,396. The funding source should have come from Fund 19 as an inter-fund transfer.	Cash from Fund 20 is Used					(509,710)	(810,060)	N1
Notes: N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a Transfer Out to Fund 26 to fund the Capital Replacement Program. This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund expenditures for operations and those for capital replacements. V2 - In FY 2012/13, the budget presented did not show the funding source in Fund 26 for its appropriation of \$1,260,396. The funding source should have come from Fund 19 as an inter-fund transfer.					Deficit in E 100	(4 000 000)		
Notes: N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a Transfer Out to Fund 26 to fund the Capital Replacement Program. This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund expenditures for operations and those for capital replacements. V2 - In FY 2012/13, the budget presented did not show the funding source in Fund 26 for its appropriation of \$1,260,396. The funding source should have come from Fund 19 as an inter-fund transfer.					Dericit in Fund 26	(1,260,396)		}
Notes: N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a Transfer Out to Fund 26 to fund the Capital Replacement Program. This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund expenditures for operations and those for capital replacements. N2 - In FY 2012/13, the budget presented did not show the funding source in Fund 26 for its appropriation of \$1,260,396. The funding source should have come from Fund 19 as an inter-fund transfer.						(1,770,106)		N2
N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a Transfer Out to Fund 26 to fund the Capital Replacement Program. This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund expenditures for operations and those for capital replacements. 2 - In FY 2012/13, the budget presented did not show the funding source in Fund 26 for its appropriation of \$1,260,396. The funding source should have come from Fund 19 as an inter-fund transfer.	Notes:					, . ,		
This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund expenditures for operations and those for capital replacements. Value of the funding source of the funding source in Fund 26 for its appropriation of \$1,260,396. The funding source should have come from Fund 19 as an inter-fund transfer.	110.00.							
N2 - In FY 2012/13, the budget presented did not show the funding source in Fund 26 for its appropriation of \$1,260,396. The funding source should have come from Fund 19 as an inter-fund transfer.	N1 - The Unfunded Appropriation in FY 2013/14 is the result of a T	ransfer Out to Fund 26 to fund the Capital Replacem	nent Program.					
The funding source should have come from Fund 19 as an inter-fund transfer.	This indicates that revenues are not sufficient to fund expend	itures for operations and those for capital replacemen	nts.				•	
The funding source should have come from Fund 19 as an inter-fund transfer.	N2 In EV 2012/13, the hudget presented did not show the first	g course in Fund 26 for its convenientian of 64 200 20	ıs					<u> </u>
			o.					1
	In FY 12/13, another factor for this deficit is that \$238,325 in li	ne item 19-8061 Structure Maintenance Major was b	udgeted in Fund 19 ir	nstead of Fund 26.				1
Another factor is the budget adjustments made related to the NOV/ACL issue without a funding source identified.								

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT FY 2013/14 Proposed Budget

Fund 20 - Expansion Fund

2013-14 Proposed Budget Fund 20 - Expansion Fund		PRIOR YEAR FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 YTD 03-31-13 9 MONTHS	FY 2012-13 PROJECTION TO 06-30-12	FY 2012-13 ADJUSTED BUDGET	FY 2013-14 PROPOSED BUDGET	NOTE NO.
Revenues							
Gross Revenues							
Connection Fees							
20-4010	Arroyo Grande Connections	29,700	56,924	75,899	49,500	76,725	
20-4020	Grover Beach Connections	9,900	2,475	4,950	14,850	59,400	
20-4030	OCSD Connections	4,950	0	2,475	9.900	19.800	
Total Connection Fees		44,550	59,399	83,324	74,250	155,925	j
							-
Interest							
20-5015	Interest Income	10,333	<u>5,695</u>	7,593	10,000	5,200	
Total Interest		10,333	5,695	7,593	10,000	5,200	1
Other Revenues							
20-5030	SGIP Rebate	150,000					
	SGIP Repaile	150,000					
Total Other Revenues		150,000					
Total Revenues		204,883	65,094	90,917	84,250	161,125	
Expenditures & Other Uses							
Capital Outlay							
20-8010	Capital Equipment	0	1,994	2,659	110,000	100,000	
20-8065	Structure/Grounds Replacement	140,008		27.671	538,589	100,000	1
20-0003	Expansion	140,000	20,733	27,071	330,309	1,392,000	N1
Total Capital Outlay		140,008	22,747	30,330	648,589	1,492,000	
Beginning Cash Balance Used for Funding					504 200	4 220 075	
beginning Cash balance Osed for Funding					564,339	1,330,875	
Net Change					0	0	
							-
Notes:							
N1 - Total \$11.6M for expansion includes \$8.2M for secondary rehabilitation and grif removal, and \$1M for splitter box repairs 14 for design/permitting.		13-					

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

FY 2013/14 Proposed Budget Fund 26 - Replacement Fund

FY 2013-14 Proposed Budget Fund 26 - Replacement Fund		PRIOR YEAR FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 YTD 03-31-13 9 MONTHS	FY 2012-13 PROJECTION TO 06-30-12	FY 2012-13 ADJUSTED BUDGET	FY 2013-14 PROPOSED BUDGET	NOTE NO.
Revenues							
Gross Revenues							
Interest							
26-5015	Interest Income	3,799	2,094	2,792	3,500	0	
Total Interest		3,799	2,094	2,792	3,500	0	
Other Revenues							-
26-5040	Transfer from Fund 19					672,709	
Total Other Revenues						672,709	
Total Revenues		3,799	2,094	2,792	3,500	672,709	
Expenditures & Other Uses							
26-8015	Trunk Sewer Maintenance	8,466	0	0	295,034	160,000	
26-8061	Structures/Grounds Maint-Maj	177,052	173,922	231,897	484,476	27,000	
26-8065	Structures/Grounds Repl/Imp	204,436	90,163	120,218	987,871	766,344	
26-8070	Emergency Equipment Repair	19,475	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>170,526</u>	0	
Total Expenditures		409,429	264,086	352,114	1,937,907	953,344	-
Beginning Cash Balance Used for Funding					674,011	280,635	1
Deficit/Unfunded Appropriation					(1,260,396)	0	
Notes:							

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT FY 2013/14 Proposed Budget Fund 26 - Replacement Fund

Schedule A	
Detail List of Replacement Projects in F	und 26
26-8015 Trunk Sewer Maintenance	
Item	Cost
Trunk Sewer Maintenance	\$160,000
Total for 26-8061	\$160,000
26-8061 Structures/Grounds - Major Maintenance	
Item	Cost
Pump Rebuilding	12,000
Grinder Replacement	10,000
Flare Maintenance	5,000
Total for 26-8061	\$27,000
26-8065 Structures/Grounds - Replacement and Improvement	1
Item	Cost including Design/Installation
Influent Slide Gate	\$67,680
Auger #1	8,491
Auger #2	8,491
RW12 (16-inch)	2,540
RW 16 14-inch	2,407
RW 16 Check Valve	2,407
Clarifier #1 Sludge Pump #1	3,802
Clarifier #1 Sludge Pump #1 VFD	2,403
Clarifier #1 Sludge Pump #2	3,802
Clarifier #1 Sludge Pump #2 VFD	2,403
Clarifier #2 Coating	45,630
Clarifier #2 Bridge	93,085
Clarifier #2 Sludge Pump #4 VFD	2,403
Clarifier #2 Sludge Pump #6 VFD	2,403
Hellan Strainers	7,208
Digester #1 Cleanout	181,728
Hot Water Recirc Pump Motor	4,143
ORP Chemical Feed Control	12,068
Satellite Feed Systems	21,902
Standby Propane Boiler	45,823
Front End Loader	187,044
1997 Ford Ranger	28,934
1997 Ford F250	29,548
Total for 26-8065	\$766,344
TOTAL OF ALL REPLACEMENT PROJECTS IN FUND 26	\$953,344



SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

Post Office Box 339, Oceano, California 93475-0339 1600 Aloha Oceano, California 93445-9735 Telephone (805) 489-6666 FAX (805) 489-2765 www.sslocsd.org

Staff Report

To: Board of Directors

From: Paul J. Karp, Interim District Manager

Date: July 3, 2013

Subject: Review Committee for Recommendation of Financial Consultant

Recommendation:

It is the staff recommendation that the Directors receive this report and approve a committee formation concept to be used by staff to select members for a committee to review proposals and provide the Board of Directors with a recommendation to employ a financial consultant.

Funding:

No Budget is necessary to address this action.

Discussion:

During the presentation of the pro forma, the Board discussed the make up of a committee that might be appointed by the staff to review proposals and possibly interview consultants who propose to provide financial services to the District to address the issues brought to light by the pro forma and appurtenant capital and maintenance project lists. Projections by staff indicate that under present conditions, the District will be significantly short of funding when it comes time to design and construct an appropriate project to maintain the ability of the plant to treat wastewater reliably and continuously meet or exceed quality regulations. The Board is also interested in a future that might include treatment of wastewater to a quality that would facilitate reuse of the water.

Staff had suggested that the Board consider a committee headed by one of its own members with support provided by District staff and possible input from member agency staff members with expertise in financial planning. This inclusion of committee members from member agencies has not been done at the District before, but Board Members did express interest in this possibility. Staff has approached management at the member agencies and had procured expressions of cooperation should the Board wish to pick this option.

At a recent regular meeting, the Board authorized a solicitation of financial consultants to help the District. Staff is currently making that distribution. We expect to receive the responses between the dates of the two July regular meetings. We would like to set up the review process in anticipation of bringing a recommendation for hiring a consultant at the first meeting in August.



SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

Post Office Box 339, Oceano, California 93475-0339 1600 Aloha Oceano, California 93445-9735 Telephone (805) 489-6666 FAX (805) 489-2765 www.sslocsd.org

Staff Report

To: Board of Directors

From: Paul Karp, Interim District Manager

Date: July 3, 2013 Meeting

Subject: Amendments to the Personnel Policies Manual

Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the Board adopt Resolution 2013-309, which makes modifications to the Personnel Policies Manual to properly reflect the recent staffing changes adopted by the Board at its June 5, 2013 meeting.

Funding:

The staffing changes that precipitated the need for modifications to the Personnel Policies Manual were approved by the Board at its June 5, 2013 meeting. The staffing changes are funded within the FY2013-14 budget.

Discussion:

The Board approved the modifications to the Personnel Policies Manual at its June 19, 2013 meeting. Resolution 2013-309 contains those approved modifications.

SOUTH LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT RESOLUTION NO. 2013 -309

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT ADOPTION OF REVISED PERSONNEL POLICIES

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors of the South San Luis Obispo County Sanitation District previously adopted the Personnel Policy to cover all personnel policies of the District; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors desires to ensure that said Personnel Policy accurately reflects the practices of the District; and

WHEREAS, District staff has prepared changes to the present District Personnel Policy; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors desires to adopt said changes to the Personnel Policy which reflect up-to-date District job descriptions; and

WHEREAS, the job descriptions attached as Exhibit "A" to this Resolution and associated modification have been reviewed by the Board of Directors and the staff of the South San Luis Obispo County Sanitation District.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED by the Board of Directors of the South San Luis Obispo County Sanitation District as follows:

- 1. The job descriptions attached hereto as Exhibit "A" are hereby incorporated into the Personnel Policy of the South San Luis Obispo County Sanitation District; and
- 2. The language under Chapter 2, Definitions, of the Personnel Policies Manual should read as such (added language underlined):
 - 4. Probationary Employees: An introductory period regarded as part of the examination process, which provides the District Administrator the opportunity to observe and evaluate an employee's competence and ability to perform assigned duties. New and returning employees shall be regarded as an introductory employee for the first 6 months of employment, except for the Operator-in-Training Intern, whose introductory period shall be one year. In addition, this introductory periods may be extended an additional 6 months at the discretion of the District Administrator. Introductory employees serve entirely at the will and pleasure of the District Administrator and may be terminated by the District Administrator without cause and without right of appeal or hearing at any time.

Upon motion of Board Member	, seconded by Board Membe		
_			
	, and on the following roll call to wit:		

AYES:	
NOES:	
ABSENT:	
ABSTAINING:	
the foregoing resolution is hereby passed, approved and adopte Luis Obispo County Sanitation District on this day o	
	MATTHEW GUERRERO, Chair
ATTEST:	
PAUL J. KARP, Secretary to the Board	
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
MICHAEL W. SEITZ, District Legal Counsel	



SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

Post Office Box 339 Oceano, California 93475-0339 1600 Aloha Oceano, California 93445-9735 Telephone (805) 489-6666 FAX (805) 489-2765 www.sslocsd.org

Staff Report

To:

Board of Directors

From:

Paul J. Karp, Interim District Manager For

Date:

July 3, 2013

Subject:

Draft Request for Proposals (RFP) for SCADA Integration

Recommendation:

It is the staff recommendation that the Directors review the attached draft RFP soliciting proposals from Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) technology experts to assist District staff in integrating the individual treatment plant monitoring systems into a single platform.

Funding:

Formerly, \$110,000 was budgeted to make improvements to the SCADA system. In January 2013, the Board approved a contract to hire FM Controls to evaluate and make recommendations and specifications for full use of the SCADA system. In May 2013, FM Controls provided a recommendation and pricing to complete the SCADA system.

The remaining \$100,000 is budgeted in the Expansion Fund account, 20-8010 for FY 2012-13. These funds will be carried over into FY2013-14 to cover the anticipated expenses.

Discussion:

At the June 19, 2013 Board Meeting, staff presented a review of the status of the wastewater treatment plant's current monitoring systems, with a conceptual plan for integrating all of the individual monitoring systems into one single monitoring system. District staff is capable of performing much of the work needed to integrate the plant's independent monitoring systems into a single SCADA platform. A technical expert is needed to demystify some of the more complex monitoring technology, guide staff toward a successfully integrated system, and provide the training necessary to empower staff to understand and operate the integrated system.

The intent of this RFP is to find a consultant that is willing to work with District staff to attain the overall goal being a single, simplified, and integrated SCADA monitoring system.

Attachments:

Draft Request for Proposals for SCADA Technological Assistance



SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT 1600 ALOHA PLACE/P.O. BOX 339 OCEANO, CA 93475 (805) 489-6666

July xx, 2013

Subject: Request for Proposals SCADA Technological Assistance

The South San Luis Obispo County Sanitation District (SSLOCSD) or DISTRICT, is soliciting proposals in accordance with the attached "Request for Proposal" to provide technical assistance in the integration of the wastewater treatment plant control systems into a single platform for the DISTRICT.

Interested firms must submit **five** copies of the proposals to:

Matthew Haber SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT P.O. Box 339 Oceano, CA 93475

The proposals must be submitted to the above address no later than 4:00 p.m. on August xx, 2013. Submitted Proposals shall be clearly identified in the lower left hand corner of the envelope with "Proposal: SCADA Technical Assistance".

If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Haber at:

Phone: 805-481-6903 Fax: 805-489-2765

Email: matthew@sslocsd.us

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE IN INTEGRATING THE DISTRICT'S MONITORING SYSTEMS

I. INTRODUCTION

The DISTRICT is soliciting proposals to provide technical assistance during the integration of the District's independent monitoring systems onto a single SCADA platform, by 4:00 p.m., August xx, 2013, from qualified professional consultants. The selected consultant shall perform the tasks specified in the "Scope of Services" section of this Request for Proposals (RFP). You are hereby invited to submit a proposal based upon the requirements and conditions set forth in this RFP.

District staff is capable of performing much of the work needed to integrate the plant's independent monitoring systems into a single SCADA platform. A technical expert is needed to demystify some of the more complex monitoring technology, guide staff toward a successfully integrated system, and provide the training necessary to empower staff to understand and operate the integrated system.

The intent of this RFP is to find a consultant that is willing to work with District staff to attain the overall goal of a single, simplified, and integrated SCADA monitoring system.

II. BACKGROUND OF THE DISTRICT'S WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

The District's wastewater treatment plant was constructed in 1966 for the purpose of treating wastewater from the communities of Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach and Oceano. Monitoring of the original facility was provided in the form of circular charts and a light board with audible alarm.

Several modifications, improvements, and expansions to the wastewater treatment plant have occurred since the original date of construction:

In 1979, the plant was upgraded to include new sludge drying beds, a sludge thickener, a new maintenance building and the installation of standby power to provide for continued treatment in the event of a local power failure.

In 1986, a major plant enlargement was constructed which led to the addition of a new fixed film reactor and the conversion of the original aeration tank and final clarifier structure into a single, enlarged final clarifier unit. Additionally, a new power generation building was constructed. These projects resulted in increasing the plant capacity from the initial 2.5 MGD to 3.3 MGD.

In 1990, the plant was expanded once again to include the addition of a second anaerobic digester along with a second primary clarifier unit. This expansion increased the overall treatment flow capacity from 3.3 MGD to 5.0 MGD.

In 2005, a chlorine contact chamber was constructed to meet new effluent requirements as stipulated in the District's NPDES Permit R3-2004-0050 providing for adequate disinfection prior to release from the plant. The chlorine contact chamber has its own monitoring system, separate from SCADA.

In 2009, a new centrifuge was constructed, with monitoring available in SCADA.

In addition, building security inputs are wired to the control room but the information is sent to an outside security firm and not through SCADA.

III. SCOPE OF SERVICES

The consultant shall provide technical assistance in integrating the various data points from six separate monitoring systems into a single integrated system, and will engage with District staff in the development of a system that is simple to understand and operate.

The scope of work shall include the following:

- 1. Review the existing systems and develop a specification list of the minimum components necessary to integrate the separate systems into a single SCADA system, using the existing Wonderware software.
- 2. Meet with staff on a biweekly basis to provide a to-do list for staff completion.
- 3. Review work as it is completed to verify that it is done to industry standards.
- 4. Answer staff questions when needed.
- 5. Develop as-built drawings of system changes as a result of this integration project.

IV. SCHEDULE

The DISTRICT is interested in completing the project by the end of calendar year 2013. The DISTRICT recognizes that performance by consultant is dependent upon performance by DISTRICT. The DISTRICT shall make schedule adjustments to reflect any delays caused by DISTRICT.

V. SAMPLE DISTRICT AGREEMENT

A sample District agreement can be found as Attachment A.

VI. PROPOSALS

A. Format and Content

Responding consultants will submit their proposal in sufficient detail to allow for a thorough and comprehensive analysis. The proposal will not be judged by the volume of material presented and, therefore, should be as brief and concise as possible without sacrificing clarity. Proposals containing irrelevant material or an abundance of excessively vague language may be penalized in the screening process. The proposal should include, at a minimum, the following information in sectionalized format:

1. Introduction

The consultant is expected to describe its basic business philosophy and its understanding of the proposed project.

2. Qualifications

a) The consultant should list the credentials, qualifications and experience of the personnel that will be working on this project, specifically their technical experience in coordinating technical projects with public agency staff.

- b) Professional affiliations and memberships -- please list.
- c) The consultant will be required to furnish a list of three (3) references (at a minimum) for which similar work was conducted over the last five (5) years. Such listing should include the following:
- 1) Name of organization
- 2) Contact persons
- 3) Period of association with each client
- 4) Project title
- 5) A brief overview of the work performed for that client

3. Personnel

Identify the person to be designated as the Project Manager and provide a detailed summary of his or her background. The Project Manager will be expected to be available on all occasions for discussion with DISTRICT staff. Note: This project is not expected to need more than one or two individuals on the project team.

4. Scope of Work

Provide a detailed scope of work to be completed by the consultant.

5. Cost Proposal

In a separate sealed package, provide a breakdown of the estimated completion cost. Please include the number of hours per task, and the cost per task, including the hourly fees of the project team members.

6. Schedule

Present a comprehensive schedule to reflect the time frame or period for performing and completing the required work. Specific milestones, which are sequentially interdependent, should be clearly identified. The consultant will be expected to attend biweekly meetings over the course of the project.

7. Exceptions to Contract terms

Any exceptions to District contract terms should be noted in this section.

B. Proposal Obligation and Disposition

The contents of the proposal and any clarifications thereto submitted by the successful consultant may become part of the contracted obligation and incorporated into the ensuing contract.

C. Review Procedures

- 1. The Consultant's selection will be "Qualifications Based". The qualifications ranking will be established prior to the review of the cost proposals. The cost proposals will remain sealed until the qualifications ranking of the consultants is established. Proposals will be judged on the basis of their completeness, clarity of expression and how well they appear to meet the financial needs and desired result objectives of the DISTRICT. The consultant's management style, philosophy and performance of similar projects in similar organizations will be evaluated. The evaluation of responses will consider the qualifications of the consultant, the demonstrated experience of the Project Manager and/or Project Team, the approach for completing the work and the financial implications. Proposals will be evaluated on the basis of, but not limited to:
 - a) Project Understanding-Consultant understands the project needs and priorities and the DISTRICT's expectations of the selected firm.
 - b) Project Approach Innovativeness shown on other projects and creative approaches to this project on firm's understanding of the DISTRICT's needs.
 - c) Recent Experience of Key Team Members Firm's key team members' experience that parallels the DISTRICT's needs.
 - d) Staff Logistics -Location and availability of staff to be responsive to the DISTRICT on a cost effective basis.
 - e) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Procedures Policy and Procedures implemented for appropriate reviews and demonstrated reliability.
 - f) Financial Understanding Understanding of relative manpower demands for various project phases and tasks; reputation for meeting project performance requirements on a cost effective and competitive basis.
- 2. Consultants may be required to make a detailed oral presentation before a special review panel.
- 3. The DISTRICT reserves the right to award this contract not necessarily to the consultant with the lowest cost proposal but to the consultant who will provide the best overall match to the Request for Proposal requirements and who will best serve the interests of the DISTRICT.

VII. GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

- A. Each consultant understands and agrees that the DISTRICT, its departments, their officers, employees or agents shall not be liable for:
 - 1. Any costs incurred by a consultant in the preparation, delivery or presentation of a proposal;
 - 2. Any costs incurred by a consultant in meeting the criteria as a result of making or submitting a proposal or subsequently in entering into a formal

- 3. Any errors, inaccuracies or misstatements related to the information or data supplied to any consultant by the DISTRICT. The use of such information or data provided by the DISTRICT, its officers, employees or agents is intended to be used at the sole discretion and risk of the firm in the preparation of a proposal pursuant to this Request for Proposal.
 - a) It is the consultant's responsibility to carefully examine the requirements, specifications and conditions expressed in the Request for Proposal and fully inform themselves as to the quality and character of services required.
 - b) Any changes made in the Request for Proposal will be made only by written addendum, duly issued by the District Manager to each consultant to whom the Request for Proposal was issued.
 - c) All responses to this Request for Proposal will become the property of the DISTRICT and will be retained or disposed of accordingly. The proposer must identify, in writing, all copyrighted material, trade secrets or other proprietary information that it claims is exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act, California Government Code Section 6250, et seq. Any proposer claiming such an exemption must also state in its proposal that the proposer agrees to defend any action brought against the DISTRICT for its refusal to disclose such material, trade secrets or other proprietary information to any party making request therefore. Any proposer who fails to include such a statement shall be deemed to have waived its right to an exemption from disclosure as provided by said Act.
 - d) The DISTRICT is an Equal Opportunity Employer.
 - e) The DISTRICT reserves the right to accept or reject, or to modify or cancel in part or in its entirety, this Request for Proposal.
 - f) After expiration of the time to submit proposals and continuing until an agreement has been awarded, all DISTRICT personnel involved in the Request for Proposal process will be specifically directed against holding any meetings, conferences or technical discussions with any proposer, unless in the formal setting of the oral presentation described in Section VIII, subsection
 - g) Proposers shall not communicate in any manner with DISTRICT Board or DISTRICT personnel regarding the Request for Proposal or the proposals during this period of time. Failure to comply with this requirement will automatically terminate further consideration of the bidder's proposal.
 - h) Responses to this Request for Proposal will be made in recognition of, and in conformance with, (a) through (f) above.

VIII. SUBMITTAL

The proposal package must be received no later than 4:00 pm on A u g u s t xx, 2013. Consultants mailing or shipping their proposals must allow sufficient delivery time to ensure timely receipt of their proposals by the time and date specified. Late proposals will not be accepted. The DISTRICT

maintains the right to reject any or all proposals.

Address Request for Proposals to:

SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT ATTN: MATTHEW HABER P.O. BOX 339 OCEANO, CA 93475-0339

Please identify the project on the outside of the package, i.e. <u>Proposal: SCADA Technical Assistance</u>

The proposals will be opened and recorded on August xx, 2013 at 12:00 p.m. at the District office located at 1600 Aloha Place, Oceano, California. Any respondent or their representative who would like to be present for the proposal opening is welcome to do so. The cost proposal from the candidate deemed to best fit the District's needs shall be opened only after completing the evaluation. The cost proposal for the next ranked candidate and any subsequent candidates shall be opened only if a mutually acceptable agreement cannot be reached between the District and any higher ranking candidates.

Attachments

Attachment A: Sample District Agreement



SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

Post Office Box 339 Oceano, California 93475-0339 1600 Aloha Oceano, California 93445-9735 Telephone (805) 489-6666 FAX (805) 489-2765 www.sslocsd.org

Staff Report

To:

Board of Directors

From:

Paul J. Karp, Interim District Manager

Date:

July 3, 2013

Subject:

Draft Letter to the RWQCB Regarding Process Improvement Plan

Recommendation:

It is the staff recommendation that the Directors review the attached draft report to the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) and approve it with any recommended modifications for submission to the Regional Board.

Funding:

Not applicable

Discussion:

On April 8, 2013, District staff submitted a Process Improvement Work Plan to the Regional Water Quality Control Board. The intent of the Work Plan was to make adjustments to the plant process to improve effluent water quality and plant reliability. Regional Board staff requested a report on the progress and outcome of the Process Improvement Work Plan at the end of June 2013. District staff requested and was granted time to allow the District Board to review the report at the July 3, 2013 board meeting before submitting the formal report.

The report demonstrates that all of the actions listed in the Work Plan were accomplished, though on an adjusted schedule. Some actions, such as reducing coagulant dose, and discontinuing flushing, occurred ahead of schedule, while long lead times for fabrication of large valves delayed the completion of some of the tasks. Ultimately, all of the actions were completed in a reasonable time frame with favorable results. The wastewater treatment plant process is more stable, is using less chemical for treatment, and has fewer activities, such as hydraulic and chemical flushing, that can upset the process.

The treatment process can benefit from additional process improvements, which will likely include startup of the sludge thickener, reducing or discontinuing coagulant feed, and further adjustment of the fixed film reactor arm rotational speed.

Attachments:

Attachment 1: Process Improvements Progress Report Letter to the RWQCB (5 pages)



SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

Post Office Box 339, Oceano, California 93475-0339 1600 Aloha Oceano, California 93445-9735 Telephone (805) 489-6666 FAX (805) 489-2765 www.sslocsd.org

Date

Mr. Kenneth A. Harris, Jr.
Interim Executive Officer
Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board
895 Aerovista Place, Suite 101
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

SUBJECT: South San Luis Obispo County Sanitation District Work Plan for Process

Improvements Progress Report

Dear Mr. Harris:

On April 8, 2013, the South San Luis Obispo County Sanitation District (District) submitted to your office a Work Plan for Process Improvements, which outlined proposed changes to improve treatment at the District's wastewater treatment plant. Staff has kept Regional Board staff verbally apprised of progress. As requested by Regional Board staff, this letter serves to document the implementation of the plan, and the impact of those actions on effluent water quality.

In general, the plan was implemented as written. Attachment 1 is the original work plan. Attachment 2 provides a comparison of the scheduled action as presented in the work plan, with the actual action taken. In some instances, we found that moving forward with actions ahead of schedule was necessary to achieve the desired results. For example, coagulant feed was lowered earlier than originally planned because the high coagulant dose appeared to be removing too much BOD in the primary system, which hampered biofilm growth on the fixed film reactor. In addition, we found that we were able to discontinue the hydraulic and chemical flushing of the fixed film reactor much earlier than anticipated.

Conversely, installation of the recirculation system was delayed by two weeks because the 20-inch gate valve needed to complete the installation had an unanticipated two-week lead time for fabrication.

Overall, the plant is operating more efficiently, with improved and more consistent effluent water quality. Effluent BOD values have been consistently below regulatory limits, even during periods of high influent BOD loading. Effluent TSS values have remained relatively constant,

but well within regulatory limits. Coagulant feed has been reduced almost in half of original amounts, and chlorine use has been reduced substantially while continuing to meet effluent bacteriological limits. The facility is running more consistently, with fewer daily changes to the plant process. In addition, one of the extraneous satellite chemical feed systems has been removed.

District staff is pleased with the full implementation of the original work plan and with the resultant improvements. While the actions taken to date have been positive improvements to the plant, staff recognizes that further improvements are warranted. These improvements include the startup of the long inactive sludge thickener, which will allow for further reductions, or possible discontinuation, of the coagulant feed system, as well as further reductions in the fixed film reactor arm rotational speed for further discouragement of filter flies. Staff will continue to make gradual adjustments where appropriate until the most favorable treatment conditions are achieved.

District staff would be happy to provide any additional information you may need as we continue to move toward a more predictable and stable facility. Feel free to direct any questions to me, at (805) 234-0731.

Regards,

Paul J. Karp Interim General Manager

Attachment 1: Process Control Work Plan (2 pages) Attachment 2: Progress Summary Table (1 page)

South San Luis Obispo County Sanitation District Work Plan for Process Improvements April 2013

Introduction

The plant process and historical documents and records were reviewed to determine whether the plant was operating in a desirable manner. Several plant issues were identified, and a conceptual plan was developed to address those issues. The conceptual plan was presented to the District Board. Board members unanimously approved the conceptual plan with the stipulation that the Regional Water Quality Control Board sign off on the assumptions that the District makes about the implications of implementing this plan. This work plan serves to identify the actions that need to be taken to implement the plan and the anticipated water quality implications of these changes and mitigation measures, for Regional Board review.

Process problems to address:

- Insufficient dissolved oxygen to primary system inhibits primary system BOD removal.
- Insufficient continuous flow/poor flow regime to Fixed Film Reactor (FFR) encourages filter flies.
- Nutrient/alkalinity removal by ferric chloride possibly impacts downstream biological activity.
- Regular flushing of FFR inhibits nitrifiers and impacts disinfection.
- Overall lack of plant operational consistency.

Mechanisms for addressing process problems:

- Provide consistent flow to the process upstream of the primary clarifier, using final clarifier recycle as needed.
- Increase flow to/decrease arm rotational speed of FFR.
- Decrease/discontinue ferric/polymer feed to primary process.
- Discontinue weekly flushing/chemical treatment of FFR.

Chronology and Anticipated Impacts:

The recommended changes may negatively impact water quality while undesirable biota dies off and desirable biota develops. Phasing of the process improvements may reduce these negative impacts. The following chronology describes the anticipated implementation and impacts after each step. Elements that plant staff believed to be beneficial and had no cost implications have been implemented already. Observations of the impacts are described.

NOTE: Initial improvements assume adequate primary effluent water quality for sufficient secondary BOD removal. This is a fairly safe assumption, as BOD removal has typically been achievable. Primary effluent samples have been collected to confirm this assumption. Unfortunately, sample results will not be available until Friday, 4/12/13.

NOTE: Water sample results may warrant a change in the timing or order of improvements to achieve highest water quality results possible as the process evolves.

1. Week of 3/25/13: Raise FFR motors to full flow during daytime hours.

Explanation: full flow allows for self-sloughing of media biofilm.

Expected impact: positive – fewer pests, more desirable biota if primary effluent water quality is adequate.

Expected impact: negative – possible incomplete nitrification can increase chlorine demand.

Mitigation measure: Observe chlorine residual, raise ORP setpoint if necessary.

Actual Observations: About half the filter flies were observed during subsequent flushes.

2. Week of 4/1/13: Lower FFR arm rotational speed to 35 Hz.

Explanation: full FFR pump flow causes flow from all four arms. Rotational speed needs to be reduced to compensate. Rotational speed decreased from 3 minutes per rotation to 3.5 minutes per rotation.

Expected impact: positive – fewer pests, more desirable biota if primary effluent water quality is adequate.

Expected impact: negative – possible incomplete nitrification can increase chlorine demand.

Mitigation measure: Observe chlorine residual, adjust ORP setpoint if necessary. Analyze process control samples at final clarifier to observe ammonia trends.

Actual Observations: ORP setpoint raised from 425 to 450, then brought down to 430 to maintain adequate chlorine residual. Chlorine residual fell, then rose, possibly related rise, then fall of nitrite. Preliminary ammonia results show decreasing trend several days after latest flush. Bacteriological samples for total and fecal coliform below 40 mpn from 4/1/13-4/4/13. Operators observe sloughings in final clarifier, and water over final clarifier weir appears more greenish than blackish, which is believed to be a good sign.

3. Week of 4/15/13: Lower FFR arm rotational speed to 30 Hz.

Explanation: full FFR pump flow causes flow from all four arms. Rotational speed needs to be reduced to compensate. Rotational speed expected to decrease from 3.5 minutes per rotation to 4 minutes per rotation.

Expected impact: positive – fewer pests, more desirable biota if primary effluent water quality is adequate.

Expected impact: negative – possible incomplete nitrification can increase chlorine demand.

Mitigation measure: monitor final clarifier effluent ammonia trends after flushing.

4. Week of 5/13/13: Install pump, motor, and VFD, set for 2.5 MGD minus influent flow.

Explanation: extra flow allows for consistent flow to FFR. Flow set at 2.5 MGD rather than 3.5 MGD to compensate for temporary unburied line, that will remain until pump can be plumbed to the splitter box.

Expected impact: positive – fewer pests, more desirable biota if primary effluent water quality is adequate.

Expected impact: negative – possible incomplete nitrification can increase chlorine demand.

Mitigation measure: Observe chlorine residual, adjust ORP setpoint if necessary.

5. Week of 5/27/13: Discontinue FFR flushing.

Explanation: Weekly FFR flushing should no longer be necessary if consistent self-sloughing flows are provided.

Expected impact: positive – stable population of BOD removers and nitrifiers.

Expected impact: negative – possible incomplete nitrification during transition can increase chlorine demand.

Mitigation measure: Observe chlorine residual, adjust ORP setpoint if necessary.

6. Week of 6/3/13: Plumb final clarifier recycle line to splitter box.

Explanation: Plumbing the recycle to the splitter box allows for removal of the temporary recycle line.

Expected impact: positive – stable and consistent flow to primary system encourages BOD removal in the

Expected impact: negative – none expected.

Mitigation measure: none needed.

7. Week of 6/10/13: Evaluate chemical feed to primary system. Discontinue if warranted.

Explanation: Chemical feed to primary system may no longer be warranted.

Expected impact: positive – Cost savings, reduced chemical exposure, more consistent process

Expected impact: negative – May change quality of sludge for digester, cogeneration facility.

Mitigation measure: activate thickener, feed ferrous chloride to sludge for hydrogen sulfide control.

ATTACHMENT 2: PROGRESS SUMMARY TABLE

			Avg Weekly Effluent BOD,	Avg Weekly Effluent TSS,	
Week	Scheduled Activity	Actual Activity	mg/L	mg/L	Comments
	Increase FFR pump output to max	Increased FFR pump output to max flow	13.8	22	
3/25/2013	flow during work hours.	during work hours on 3/26.	13.8	22	
	Decrease FFR arm rotational	Decreased FFR arm rotational speed VFD	25.0	24	
4/1/2013	speed VFD to 35 Hz	to 35 Hz on 4/3.	25.0	24	
4/8/2013	None	No changes made	12.9	23	
		Decreased FFR arm rotational speed VFD			
	Decrease FFR arm rotational	to 30 Hz on 4/15. Reduced ferric	2.8	16	
	speed VFD to 30 Hz	chloride feed from 440 ml/min to 350	2.0	10	
4/15/2013		mL/min on 4/17			
4/22/2013	None	Installed 8-inch gate valve 4/24	7.2	22	
		Decreased FFR arm rotational speed VFD			Flushing discontinued after this
	None	to 25 Hz on 4/29. Last hydraulic flush of	7.4	26	week, three weeks ahead of
4/29/2013		FFR on 5/2.			schedule.
		Reduced ferric chloride feed from 350			
	None	mL/min to 250 mL/min, with	3.7	26	
5/6/2013		proportional drop in polymer on 5/8.			
5/13/2013	Install pump, motor, VFD.	Began piping installation 5/13.	<2	19	
5/20/2013	None	Piping installation continued	7.4	24	
5/27/2013	Discontinue FFR flushing	Piping installation continued	12.3	23	
		Installed pump, motor, VFD, 6/1. Ran			
	Dlumb final clarifier to splitter boy	recycle flow through thickeer until final	24.5	24	
	Plumb final clarifier to splitter box clarifier could be plumbed ot the splitter	24.5	24		
6/3/2013		box.			
		Verified that cutting coagulant dose any			Thickener has been inactive for
	Evaluate coagulant chemical feed	further will cause insufficient sludge			several years. Funds are
	system. Discontinue if	thickening. Sludge thickener to be	13.1	24.5	budgeted to perform necessary
	appropriate.	restarted before proceeding with further			maintenance to restart this
6/10/2013		coagulant reductions.			process.
	News	Plumbed final clarifier to splitter box,	Not yet	Not yet	
6/17/2013	None	6/19.	available	available	

Abbreviations: FFR = fixed film reactor, VFD = variable frequency drive.



SOUTH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

Post Office Box 339 Oceano, California 93475-0339 1600 Aloha Oceano, California 93445-9735 Telephone (805) 489-6666 FAX (805) 489-2765 www.sslocsd.org

Staff Report

To:

Board of Directors

From:

Paul J. Karp, Interim District Manager

Date:

July 3, 2013

Subject:

Renewal of District's Liability Insurance

Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the Board direct staff to renew the District's liability insurance with Special District Risk Management Authority (SDRMA) with a limit of liability coverage of \$10M at a cost of \$30,307.22.

Funding:

The proposed Fiscal Year 2013/14 budget account #19-7043 includes \$30,807 to fund the cost of the recommended level of insurance coverage plus any incidentals such as fees for Non-member Certificates of Coverage.

Discussion:

Attached is the invoice received from SDRMA, which is due July 15, 2013, in the amount of \$30,307.22 for the District's liability insurance for FY 2013/14. This is an increase of \$2,301.24 from the previous fiscal year and includes three non-member additional insured certificates for Pacific Gas and Electric, Municipal Finance Corporation (required for the Co-Gen unit) and the California State Lands Commission (required for the outfall line). There is also a Miscellaneous Endorsement coverage charge of \$3,000 included in the total amount of this invoice.

Also attached is information related to the SDRMA's Credit Incentive Program which allows the District to offset some of its insurance costs through Board and Staff participation in such activities as SDRMA workshops and training sessions.

Attachment A: SDRMA renewal invoice for FY 2013/14 Attachment B: Credit Incentive Program (CIP) information Special District Risk Management Authority

Maximizing Protection. Minimizing Risk. 1112 I Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, California 95814-2865 T 916.231.4141 F 916.231.4111 Toll-free 800.537.7790 www.sdrma.org



May 22, 2013

Mr. Matthew Haber Bookkeeper/Secretary South San Luis Obispo County Sanitation District Post Office Box 339 Oceano, California 93475-0339

Dear Mr. Haber:

On behalf of the Special District Risk Management Authority (SDRMA) Board of Directors we are pleased to announce in February 2013, the Board took action keeping rates flat for the Property/Liability Program for 2013-14! This will be the fourth year in a row with no rate increases. Your agency's annual contribution amount may vary from 2012-13 as a result of any coverage limit changes, scheduled item additions/deletions and Credit Incentive Program (CIP) points earned.

Enclosed is your agency's 2013-14 invoice which includes the total contribution amount for the Property/Liability Package Program and other optional coverages selected by your agency. The current Limit of Liability coverage selected by your agency is indicated on the invoice. Optional higher or lower limits for excess coverage layers will be offered again this year - coverage limit options are \$2.5 million, \$5 million or \$10 million. These limits apply to general liability, auto liability, and errors and omissions coverages. If your agency would like a quote to increase or decrease its Limit of Liability, you must notify SDRMA in writing no later than June 15, 2013 for such change to take effect at the start of the program year July 1, 2013. Requested changes received after June 15, 2013 will not be able to be processed due to our excess carrier requirements.

Once again, a 5% Multi-Program Discount has been deducted from the invoice total and is noted in the line above Total Contribution Amount for members belonging to both Property/Liability and Workers' Compensation programs. In addition, a \$150 credit has been applied if your agency used MemberPlus OnlineTM to complete and submit your 2013-14 Renewal Questionnaire by the deadline. Over 543 members (97%) submitted their 2013-14 Renewal Questionnaire using our MemberPlus OnlineTM portal!

To ensure accurate and timely processing of your coverages, please return the blue copy of the invoice and your payment by July 15, 2013 for the total contribution amount shown on the invoice. Late payments received after August 15, 2013 will accrue interest.

Thank you for your continued participation in SDRMA's Property/Liability Package Program. Please do not hesitate to contact us at 800.537.7790 or info@sdrma.org to receive information about other SDRMA programs including Workers' Compensation or Health Benefits or if you have any questions about your current coverages.

On behalf of the Board of Directors and our entire risk management team we look forward to continuing our relationship with South San Luis Obispo County Sanitation District for the upcoming program year.

Sincerely,

Special District Risk Management Authority

Gregory S. Hall, ARM Chief Executive Officer

Enclosures: 2013-14 SDRMA Property Liability Package Program Invoice, 2012-13 Credit Incentive Program (CIP) Points Earned and 2013-14 CIP Criteria

Property/Liability Package Program Invoice



Program Year 2013-14

South San Luis Obispo County Sanitation District

May 22, 2013

Post Office Box 339

Invoice #: 43717

Oceano, California 93475-0339

Member #: 7126

\$1,086.59

Property/Liability Package

Property

Coverage for reported values (including contents): \$635,657

Floater / Mobile Equipment \$0.00

Coverage for reported value of \$0

Auto Liability \$2,018.05

Coverage for 4 reported vehicle(s)

General Liability \$20,536.18

Services: Sewer

Certificates: 3 Non-Member Certificate(s)

Items included in the Program Package at no additional cost:

Boiler & Machinery, Employee Blanket Bond, Errors & Omissions

Gross Package Contribution \$23,640.82
CIP Credit -\$1,549.40
Longevity Distribution Credit -\$1,080.00
MemberPlus Online RQ Bonus -\$150.00

Property/Liability Package Subtotal

\$20,861.42

Other Coverages/Charges

Auto Comp / Collision \$0.00

Coverage for 0 reported vehicle(s)

Trailers \$0.00

Coverage for reported value of \$0

Excess Liability \$6,445.80

Liability Limit Increased to: \$10M

Special Coverages / Charges \$3,000.00

Coverage for: Misc. Endorsement, Special Deductibles

Other Coverages/Charges Subtotal \$9,445.80

PLEASE RETURN THIS COPY WITH YOUR PAYMENT

5% Multi-Program Discount

\$0.00

Total Contribution Amount Due by July 15

\$30,307.22

Present Limit of Liability of \$10M for G/L, A/L, and E&O

Please pay in full by the due date if not, a late charge of one percent (1%) per month, twelve (12%) per annum, will be assessed on all sums past due. Imposition of this charge does not extend the due date for payment. If your agency would like a quote to increase or decrease its Limit of Liability, please contact SDRMA Member Services no later than June 15 for any coverage changes to take effect at the start of the program year on July 1.

Special District Risk Management Authority 1112 I Street Suite 300, Sacramento, California 95814-2865 Tel 916.231.4141 or 800.537.7790 Fax 916.231.4111 Member Focused





Property/Liability Credit Incentive Program



South San Luis Obispo County Sanitation District CIP Points Earned as of: 3/31/2013

The Credit Incentive Program (CIP) is designed to encourage our Members to take a proactive approach for loss prevention administration, training and safety/risk management. In an effort to assist our Members in achieving the lowest contributions possible, we performed a review of the documents submitted to date for the CIP program year 2012-13. These credits are applied toward the invoice for the 2013-14 program year. The following earned credits have been documented:

CIP Criteria Description*	Maximum Available	CIPs Earned to Date	
Special District Administrator designation from SDLF	2	0	
Staff Attendance at SDRMA Workshop	1	1	
Additional Staff Attendance at SDRMA Workshop	1	0	
Management Staff Attendance at CSDA Sponsored Training	1	1	
Additional Management Staff Attendance at CSDA Sponsored Training	1	0	
Attendance at Approved Legal Seminar	1	0	
Additional Attendance at Approved Legal Seminar	1	0	
TargetSolutions Online Training Program	3	3	
Use of SDRMA Safety Video Library	2	2	
ADMINISTRATION TRACK TOTALS - 8 POINTS MAXIMUM		7	
SDLF District of Distinction designation	4	0	
Single Board Member Attendance at SDRMA Workshop	1	0	
Additional Board Member Attendance at SDRMA Workshop	1	0	
Single Board Member Attendance at CSDA Training	1	0	
Additional Board Member Attendance at CSDA Training	1	0	
Completion of two CSDA Education / Webinar sessions	3	0	
GOVERNANCE TRACK TOTALS - 5 POINTS MAXIMUM		0	
No Claims during the year	2	0	
CLAIMS TRACK TOTALS - 2 POINTS MAXIMUM		0	
COMBINED TRACK TOTALS - 15 POINTS MAXIMUM	15	7	
5 YEAR NO CLAIMS BONUS	3	0	
TOTAL CREDIT INCENTIVE POINTS	18	7	

^{*}For detailed information, please see the 2012-13 Property/Liability CIP criteria. For questions, please contact Dennis Timoney at 800.537.7790.



Property/Liability 2013-14 Credit Incentive Program Criteria

Special District Risk Management Authority (SDRMA) is committed to establishing a strategic partnership with our members to provide maximum protection, help control losses and positively impact the overall cost of property/liability coverage through the Credit Incentive Program.

Credit incentives of up to 15 points can be earned based on an Agency's participation in meeting the following program guidelines. One CIP point is equal to a 1% discount on the Agency's Property/Liability Program for the Auto and General Liability net contributions invoice only. Participating members may choose among various options to complete during the CIP period (April 1-M arch 31) to reach the maximum points allowable within each track.

Please note the maximum total a member can receive is 15 points, and points earned before March 31, 2014 will be applied to the 2014-15 program year. Options allowing a member to earn points for both Property/Liability and Workers' Compensation are designated with (Credit will be applied to Both Programs). Points are currently awarded as follows:

ADMINISTRATION TRACK (8 POINTS MAXIMUM)

For management staff accredited with the Special District Administrator designation by the Special District Leadership Foundation (SDLF). This certification program is a way for special district administrators to affirm – to their customers, to their board of directors, and to themselves – that they are competent and experienced in their profession. Requirements for this certification include management experience, continuing education, community service, and ultimately an exam.

2 points

No Documentation Required - Will be confirmed by SDLF.

(Credit will be applied to Both Programs)

For each <u>full-day</u> attendance by the Agency's safety officer, designated individual, or employee(s) at an SDRMA Safety/Claims Education Day (Each attendee earns 1 point).

2 Points maximum

No Documentation Required - Will be confirmed by SDRMA workshop attendance sheet.

(Credit will be applied to Both Programs)

For each <u>full-session</u> attendance by the Agency's management staff in a California Special Districts Association (CSDA) sponsored training program, such as CSDA annual conference workshops and/or the Special District Leadership Academy (Each attendee earns 1 point).

2 points maximum

No Documentation Required - Will be confirmed by CSDA training attendance sheet.

(Credit will be applied to Both Programs)

For attending an approved Legal Seminar relating to Employment Practices or Human Resource issues (Each attendee earns 1 point).

2 Points maximum

Documentation Required — Course syllabus and certificate of completion must be submitted to SDRMA for credit.

For staff participation in SDRMA's online safety training program – TargetSolutions/FleetSmart Motor Vehicle Safety Programs. 25% of member employees (FT, PT and volunteer firefighters) must each successfully complete a minimum of four individual e-training modules.

3 points

No Documentation Required - Will be confirmed by SDRMA.

For utilizing SDRMA's Safety DVD/Video Library. Participating members must review a minimum of 4 Safety DVD/Videos.

2 points

No Documentation Required - Will be confirmed by SDRMA.



GOVERNANCE TRACK - GOVERNING BODY RELATED (5 POINTS MAXIMUM)

For Agency accredited with District of Distinction designation by the Special District Leadership Foundation (SDLF). This accreditation program enables districts to demonstrate to their communities, the media and legislators their commitment to operate in a sound, responsible manner. Districts apply to SDLF for designation as a "District of Distinction" by submitting financial audits, policies and procedures and proof of Governance and Ethics training received by directors and executive staff.

4 points

No Documentation Required - Will be confirmed by SDLF.

(Credit will be applied to Both Programs)

For completing a financial audit for the most recent year legally required and presenting the audit and auditor's findings/recommendations at a public board meeting.

2 Points

Documentation Required – A copy of the governing body's approved minutes where the audit was presented at a public board meeting by the auditor must be submitted to SDRMA for credit (do not submit the full audit report).

For each <u>full-day</u> attendance by a member of the Agency's governing body at an SDRMA Safety/Claims Education Day (Each attendee earns 1 point).

2 Points maximum

No Documentation Required - Will be confirmed by SDRMA workshop attendance sheet.

(Credit will be applied to Both Programs)

For each <u>full-session</u> attendance by a member of the Agency's governing body in a California Special Districts Association (CSDA) sponsored training program, such as CSDA annual conference workshops (SDRMA approved), Board Leadership Training, Special District Leadership Academy and/or Special District Leadership Foundation (Each attendee earns 1 point).

2 points maximum

No Documentation Required - Will be confirmed by CSDA training attendance sheet.

(Credit will be applied to Both Programs)

For completion by a member of the Agency's governing body of a minimum of two (2) CSDA Education / Webinar training session(s).

3 points maximum

No Documentation Required - Will be confirmed by CSDA training attendance sheet.

CLAIMS TRACK (2 POINTS MAXIMUM)

For a participating member agency not having any "paid" claims (excluding first party property claims).

2 points

No Documentation Required - Will be confirmed by SDRMA.

A total of 15 points can be earned for participation in the Property/Liability Credit Incentive Program (CIP).

The following bonus points are in addition to the 15 point limit:

CLAIMS BONUS (3 POINTS)

For a member agency not having any "paid" claims as outlined under the Claims Track for the prior 5 consecutive years.

CONTACT INFORMATION

For questions regarding point credits or the Credit Incentive Program, please contact SDRMA Chief Risk Officer Dennis Timoney at dtimoney@sdrma.org or call the SDRMA office at 800.537.7790. Also, visit our website at www.sdrma.org, click on MemberPlus Services and then click on TargetSolutions Program to view information about the program or take an online demonstration course of several of our Online Certified Safety Training Program Courses available to all members including:

Credit Incentive Program



- Over 250+ member safety-training courses in English and Spanish
- Courses that meet State and Federal government regulations for required contact hours for certified water and wastewater operators
- Courses endorsed by the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA)
- Courses that are recognized and accepted by the California Water Environmental Association (CWEA) and the California Department of Health Services (DHS)